

Dietary Supplements and the Promotion of Muscle Growth with Resistance Exercise

Richard B. Kreider

Exercise and Sport Nutrition Laboratory, Department of Human Movement Sciences and Education, The University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee, USA

Contents

Abstract	97
1. Dietary Strategies for Enhancing Lean Tissue Accretion	98
1.1 Overfeeding	98
1.2 Pre- and Post-Exercise Meals	99
2. Nutrients Purported to Affect Lean Tissue Accretion	100
2.1 Protein and Selected Amino Acids	100
2.1.1 Protein	100
2.1.2 Glutamine	100
2.1.3 Branched-Chain Amino Acids	101
2.1.4 Creatine and Nutritional Formulations Containing Creatine	101
2.1.5 Leucine and Calcium β -Hydroxy β -Methylbutyrate	102
2.2 Chromium	104
2.3 Vanadyl Sulfate	105
2.4 Boron	106
2.5 Prasterone and Androstenedione	106
3. Conclusions and Implications	107

Abstract

Nutritional strategies of overfeeding, ingesting carbohydrate/protein before and after exercise, and dietary supplementation of various nutrients [e.g. protein, glutamine, branched-chain amino acid, creatine, leucine, β -hydroxy β -methylbutyrate (β -HMB), chromium, vanadyl sulfate, boron, prasterone (dehydroepiandrosterone [DHEA]) and androstenedione] have been purported to promote gains in fat-free mass during resistance training.

Most studies indicate that chromium, vanadyl sulfate and boron supplementation do not affect muscle growth. However, there is evidence that ingesting carbohydrate/protein prior to exercise may reduce catabolism during exercise and that ingesting carbohydrate/protein following resistance-exercise may promote a more anabolic hormonal profile. Furthermore, glutamine, creatine, leucine, and calcium β -HMB may affect protein synthesis.

Creatine and calcium β -HMB supplementation during resistance training have been reported to increase fat-free mass in athletic and nonathletic populations. Prasterone supplementation has been reported to increase testosterone and

fat-free mass in nontrained populations. However, results are equivocal, studies have yet to be conducted on athletes, and prasterone is considered a banned substance by some athletic organisations.

This paper discusses rationale and effectiveness of these nutritional strategies in promoting lean tissue accretion during resistance training.

One of the primary goals of resistance training is to promote gains in muscle mass.^[1] Chronic resistance training typically promotes gains in fat-free mass ranging between 0 to 1kg per month.^[1-3] However, gains in fat-free mass during resistance training vary among individuals.^[2,3] In this regard, whereas some athletes may experience gains in fat-free mass, other athletes observe little change.^[2,3] For this reason, both athletes and coaches have searched for ways to augment usual training-induced alterations in fat-free mass primarily through experimentation with nutritional supplements and/or pharmacological agents.^[4]

While it is clear that pharmacological agents such as anabolic-androgenic steroids and growth hormones may promote muscle growth,^[5-9] there are significant medical, ethical and legal concerns.^[10] Consequently, athletes have relied to a greater degree on nutritional strategies designed to promote muscle growth. This has resulted in an explosion in the development, marketing and research of nutritional supplements purported to promote lean tissue accretion. Although there is evidence suggesting that dietary manipulation and/or supplementation of some nutrients may enhance gains in fat-free mass during resistance training, many of the nutrients marketed to athletes have few scientific data to support their claims of effectiveness. The purpose of this review is to examine the rationale and effectiveness of some of the most popular dietary supplements purported to promote muscle growth during resistance training and to provide insight regarding recommendations for athletes.

1. Dietary Strategies for Enhancing Lean Tissue Accretion

1.1 Overfeeding

The most common nutritional strategy to pro-

mote weight gain and muscle growth is overfeeding.^[2,11,12] This is typically accomplished by adding meals, carbohydrate-rich snacks, and/or ingesting high calorie carbohydrate/protein weight-gain supplements in order to increase energy intake by 500 to 2000 Cal/day. While this method has been shown to be an effective strategy in promoting weight gain,^[2,11,12] typically only 30 to 40% of the weight gain is fat-free mass.

For example, Forbes and associates^[11] investigated the effects of 3 weeks of overfeeding (900 to 1800 Cal/day) on body composition alterations. Study participants consumed a diet consisting of 15% protein, 45% carbohydrate and 40% fat, and maintained a light activity level. Body mass increased by an average of 4.4kg (3.5 to 5.9kg) and fat-free mass was increased by 1.7kg (0 to 5.1kg) representing 38% of the total weight gain. Similar findings have been observed with carbohydrate overfeeding. Welle and associates^[12] evaluated the effects of carbohydrate supplementation (400 g/day) for 10 days on fat-free mass alterations in 11 men whose baseline diet was 2600 Cal/day. Carbohydrate overfeeding promoted a positive nitrogen balance and a 2.9kg increase in total body mass. However, only 33% of the weight gain was fat-free mass.

Although overfeeding is an effective method of promoting weight gain, most of the weight gained is fat which may not be a desirable body composition alteration for athletes. Nevertheless, resistance-trained athletes often use this method to 'bulk up' and then diet in an attempt to lose the unwanted fat gained. This is despite the fact that when people lose weight on calorie-restricted diets approximately 50% of the weight loss is fat-free mass.^[2] Consequently, in my view, this nutritional strategy should not be recommended to athletes as a means of promoting muscle growth unless the

athlete is severely underweight and/or the added fat mass gained will not compromise performance.

1.2 Pre- and Post-Exercise Meals

Another nutritional strategy employed by athletes to promote muscle growth is ingesting carbohydrate, or carbohydrate and protein, before and/or after periods of exercise. These strategies have been based on reports indicating that ingesting carbohydrate/protein before exercise may increase insulin levels thereby decreasing exercise-induced catabolism,^[13,14] and that ingesting carbohydrate or carbohydrate/protein following exercise may hasten recovery,^[14] promote a more anabolic hormonal profile,^[15] decrease myofibrillar protein breakdown and urea nitrogen excretion,^[16] and enhance glycogen resynthesis.^[17-19]

For example, Chandler and colleagues^[15] investigated the effects of ingesting carbohydrate and/or protein supplements after a standardised resistance-training workout on hormonal profiles. Participants consumed a water control or isocaloric amounts of carbohydrate (1.5 g/kg), protein (1.38 g/kg) or carbohydrate and protein (1.06 g/kg carbohydrate, 0.41 g/kg protein) immediately and 2 hours after the workout. Insulin levels were increased to a greater degree in the carbohydrate and the carbohydrate/protein groups, and ingesting carbohydrate/protein after exercise promoted a modest but significant increase in growth hormone levels. These findings suggest that ingesting carbohydrate and protein following resistance training may promote a more favourable hormonal environment to promote muscle growth.

Cade and associates^[14] investigated the effects of carbohydrate and protein supplementation following swim training in 20 male and 20 female intercollegiate swimmers. Swimmers were randomised into 1 of 5 supplementation groups while maintaining their regular training diets. One group consumed water during exercise and was not administered a supplement after training sessions. A second group consumed water during periods of exercise and was supplemented with 80g of sucrose immediately after each training session. The third

group consumed water during exercise and then 80g of sucrose with 15g of milk protein after exercise. The remaining 2 groups consumed a 6% glucose-electrolyte solution during swim training and then either 80g of sucrose or 80g of sucrose with 15g of milk protein after training. The researchers found that regardless of consuming water or glucose-electrolyte solution during exercise, creatine kinase levels were lower in the groups receiving the sucrose-protein supplement after exercise. In addition, creatine kinase levels returned to baseline sooner in the group receiving sucrose-protein after exercise (3 hours) compared with carbohydrate supplementation alone (8 hours). These findings suggest that ingestion of carbohydrate and protein following exercise may hasten the rate of muscle recovery during intense training.

Finally, Roy and co-workers^[16] investigated the effects on markers of protein metabolism of ingesting carbohydrate (1 g/kg immediately and 1 hour after exercise) following resistance training. Participants performed 8 sets of about 10 repetitions at 85% 1 repetition maximum (RM) of unilateral knee extension exercise followed by ingesting placebo or carbohydrate during recovery. Carbohydrate supplementation resulted in significantly greater increases in blood glucose levels during the first hour and insulin levels during the first 2 hours of recovery. In addition, fractional muscle protein synthetic rate in the carbohydrate supplemented group was nonsignificantly higher (36%) in the exercise compared with the non-exercise leg. Furthermore, urinary excretion of 3-methylhistidine and urea nitrogen were significantly lower in the carbohydrate supplemented group. The researchers concluded that carbohydrate supplementation following resistance training can decrease myofibrillar protein degradation and urea nitrogen excretion thereby promoting a more positive nitrogen balance.

Ingesting carbohydrate and protein before and/or after exercise has been reported to lessen catabolism during running,^[13] hasten recovery following swim training,^[14] modestly increase growth hormone levels following resistance training,^[15]

and enhance glycogen resynthesis following endurance^[17,18] and resistance training.^[16,19] Consequently, following these nutritional strategies during training may lead to greater gains in fat-free mass. However, although there is sound scientific rationale to recommend these nutritional strategies for athletes, this hypothesis has not been evaluated during long term training periods. Additional research is necessary to evaluate the effects of meal timing and composition on hormonal responses to resistance-exercise and body composition alterations during training.

2. Nutrients Purported to Affect Lean Tissue Accretion

2.1 Protein and Selected Amino Acids

2.1.1 Protein

It is a commonly held belief amongst resistance-trained athletes that in order to promote muscle growth, the diet must be supplemented with large amounts of protein. While studies^[20,21] indicate that athletes involved in intense training may have greater protein needs than non-exercising counterparts (i.e. 1.3 to 2 vs 0.8 to 1 g/kg/day), most athletes who eat enough to maintain energy balance achieve these recommendations.^[20-22] Furthermore, increasing dietary intake of protein above that necessary to maintain nitrogen balance does not appear to promote lean tissue accretion.^[20,21]

For example, Tarnopolsky and colleagues^[20] investigated the effects of dietary intake of protein on strength and body composition in untrained and trained men in a randomised, double-blind crossover study. Six sedentary and 7 resistance-trained athletes ingested 0.86, 1.4, and 2.4 g/kg/day of protein for 13 days separated by an 8 day washout period. Resistance-trained athletes had a greater daily requirement for protein (1.4 g/kg/day). However, increased protein intake did not affect changes in fat-free mass in either group. These findings suggest that resistance-trained athletes may need between 1.7 to 1.8 g/kg/day of protein in order to ensure nitrogen balance but that ingesting

protein above this level does not promote muscle growth.

Similarly, Lemon and co-workers^[21] investigated the effects of protein supplementation on body composition and strength alterations in a group of novice bodybuilders. In a repeated measures crossover design, 12 male bodybuilders randomly ingested 1.35 or 2.62 g/kg/day of protein while consuming a 3500 Cal/day diet. Participants trained for 4 weeks, observed a 7 day washout period, and then repeated the experiment with the alternate protein intake. There were no significant differences observed between groups in gains in body mass, muscle mass (determined by density, creatinine excretion, and computerised axial tomography), or strength.

Therefore, although it is important for athletes to ingest an adequate amount of protein in order to maintain a positive nitrogen balance during training (i.e. 1.3 to 2 g/kg/day), and ingesting carbohydrate and protein after resistance-exercise may promote a more favourable anabolic hormonal profile,^[15] consuming additional amounts of protein does not appear to promote muscle growth. Furthermore, most resistance-trained athletes ingest a sufficient amount of dietary protein in their usual diet to accommodate the increased protein needs observed.

2.1.2 Glutamine

Glutamine is a common ingredient currently found in many of the weight-gain supplements marketed to athletes. Glutamine is an amino acid which has been suggested to promote muscle growth and decrease exercise-induced immunosuppression. These contentions are based on animal and human studies that investigated the effect of glutamine on protein synthesis,^[23,24] cell volume^[25] and glycogen synthesis.^[26] Studies also suggest that intense exercise may decrease glutamine levels^[27,28] and that this decrease may contribute to exercise-induced immunosuppression in overtrained athletes.^[27-29]

In analysis of this literature, it appears that glutamine is an important metabolic nutrient affecting protein synthesis,^[23,24] possibly by increasing cell

volume and osmotic pressure.^[25] Furthermore, glutamine availability directly affects lymphocytic function.^[27-29] Branched-chain amino acid (4 to 16g) and/or glutamine supplementation (4 to 12g) has been shown to increase glutamine levels.^[22,29] Consequently, there is some evidence to support glutamine supplementation, in that it may promote muscle growth^[23,24] and/or prevent upper respiratory tract infections among athletes.^[22,27-29] However, long term studies investigating the effects of glutamine supplementation on protein synthesis, body composition, and the incidence of upper respiratory tract infections during resistance training have yet to be conducted. Consequently, although basic research is promising, additional research is necessary.

2.1.3 Branched-Chain Amino Acids

Branched-chain amino acid supplementation has been reported to decrease exercise-induced protein degradation and/or serum muscle enzyme efflux,^[30-32] possibly by promoting an anticatabolic hormonal profile.^[13,15] Theoretically, branched chain amino acid supplementation during intense training may help minimise protein degradation and thereby lead to greater gains in fat-free mass.^[22,29,33,34]

In support of this contention, Carli and associates^[13] reported that addition of 10g of branched chain amino acid to a carbohydrate/protein drink promoted a more anabolic response to intense running compared with ingesting the carbohydrate/protein supplement without branched chain amino acid. Moreover, Coombes and McNaughton^[31] reported that branched chain amino acid supplementation (12 g/day for 14 days) prior to performing a 2-hour period of endurance cycling at 70% of maximal oxygen uptake, significantly decreased post-exercise creatine kinase and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) enzyme efflux. This suggests that branched chain amino acid supplementation may reduce exercise-induced muscle damage. Mourier et al.^[33] found that branched chain amino acid supplementation during 19 days of calorie restriction in wrestlers promoted a greater reduction in percent body fat while maintaining fat-free mass to a greater de-

gree compared with athletes on hypocaloric control, hypocaloric low protein, and hypocaloric high protein diets. Finally, we reported that branched chain amino acid supplementation with carbohydrate during 25 weeks of swim training promoted a greater increase in fat-free mass and reduction in percent body fat compared with carbohydrate supplementation alone.^[22,23]

Although results of these studies support contentions that branched chain amino acid supplementation may affect protein degradation and/or muscle mass, none of these studies evaluated the effects of branched chain amino acid supplementation on body composition alterations during resistance training. Consequently, additional research is necessary to determine whether branched chain amino acid supplementation prior to and/or following resistance-exercise promotes muscle growth.

2.1.4 Creatine and Nutritional Formulations Containing Creatine

Dietary supplementation of creatine and nutritional formulations containing creatine have become the most popular nutritional strategy employed by resistance-trained athletes to promote gains in strength and fat-free mass.^[35] The rationale for this is that creatine supplementation (20 to 25 g/day for 4 to 7 days then 2 to 25 g/day) has been reported to increase total body mass,^[36-42] fat-free mass,^[38-40,42-47] single-effort and/or repetitive sprint capacity,^[39-41,43,44,48-55] strength and/or power,^[38,39,43,47-49,56] and work performed during sets of maximal effort muscle contractions.^[37,39,40,42,44,50,53,56] It has been suggested that the increased body mass is due to creatine stimulated water retention,^[53] protein synthesis,^[38,54-59] and/or an improved quality of training leading to greater gains in strength and fat-free mass.^[39-40,42-44,46,47] Gains in total body mass and fat-free mass following creatine supplementation and nutritional formulations containing creatine are typically 0.8 to 3kg greater than matched-paired control participants, depending on the duration and amount of supplementation.

For example, Earnest and colleagues^[39] reported that 28 days of creatine supplementation (20 g/day) during resistance training significantly increased total body mass by 1.7kg ($p < 0.05$) and that gains in hydrostatically determined fat-free mass accounted for 1.5kg of the total body mass gain ($p = 0.054$). Moreover, Vandenburghe and associates^[47] reported that women consuming creatine (20 g/day for 4 days followed by 5 g/day for 66 days) during resistance training observed significantly greater gains in fat-free mass compared with a placebo group. These gains were maintained during a subsequent 70-day detraining period with continued supplementation (5 g/day). In addition, the gains in fat-free mass were maintained 28 days after cessation of supplementation despite muscle phosphocreatine levels returning toward pre-supplementation values.

Studies from our laboratory investigating the effects of ingesting commercially available supplements containing 15 to 25 g/day of creatine during 4 to 5 weeks of resistance training indicate that gains in fat-free mass were 1.1 to 2.3kg greater (1- to 2-fold) than gains observed when participants ingested near isocaloric amounts of carbohydrate,^[40,45,46] carbohydrate/protein,^[42,60] or even a higher calorie carbohydrate/protein supplement containing chromium, as chromium picolinate, and boron.^[42] Whether the gains in fat-free mass observed in these studies were due to creatine and/or a synergist action with other nutrients contained in these formulations remains to be determined. Nevertheless, these data suggest that supplementing the diet with creatine, and/or these specific creatine-containing formulations, is an effective means of promoting gains in strength and fat-free mass during resistance training. For a more detailed review on the effects of creatine supplementation on exercise and body composition, refer to 2 recent comprehensive reviews.^[61,62]

2.1.5 Leucine and Calcium β -Hydroxy β -Methylbutyrate

Leucine and metabolites of leucine such as α -ketoisocaproate have been reported to inhibit protein degradation particularly during periods of

increased proteolysis.^[63,64] It has been suggested that the anticatabolic effects of leucine and α -ketoisocaproate are regulated by the leucine metabolite β -hydroxy β -methylbutyrate (β -HMB). Animal studies indicate that β -HMB is synthesised from α -ketoisocaproate almost entirely as a byproduct of leucine metabolism and that approximately 5% of oxidised leucine is converted to β -HMB.^[65,66] Furthermore, that adding β -HMB to dietary feed improved carcass quality in sows^[65] and steers.^[66] Based on these findings, it has been hypothesised that supplementing the diet with leucine and/or β -HMB may inhibit protein degradation during periods of increased proteolysis such as resistance training.

In support of this contention, intravenous leucine infusion has been reported to decrease protein degradation in humans suggesting that leucine may serve as a regulator of protein metabolism.^[63] Moreover, Nissen and colleagues^[64] reported significantly greater gains in fat-free mass and strength in untrained men and women^[67] initiating resistance training when administered calcium β -HMB 1.5 or 3 g/day for 3 to 4 weeks. The gains in fat-free mass were approximately 0.4 to 0.7kg greater than in the placebo groups. These researchers also reported^[64] that 3 g/day of calcium β -HMB supplementation ingested with a carbohydrate/protein meal replacement powder significantly increased fat-free mass (≈ 2.7 kg in the first 3 to 4 weeks) during 7 weeks of off-season college football resistance training compared with participants ingesting an isocaloric amount of orange juice. Calcium β -HMB supplementation was also associated with less serum creatine kinase and LDH efflux as well as urinary 3-methylhistidine excretion suggesting that participants ingesting β -HMB experienced less catabolism during training.^[64] Finally, Vukovich and co-workers^[68] reported that 8 weeks of calcium β -HMB supplementation (3 g/day) significantly increased fat-free mass (-0.58 vs 1.5%), reduced fat mass (0.27 vs -2.2%), and promoted greater gains in upper and lower extremity 1RM strength in a group of elderly men and women (≈ 70 years) initiating training.

Although additional research is necessary, these findings suggest that supplementing the diet with calcium β -HMB 1.5 to 3 g/day may enhance training induced changes in fat-free mass and strength in untrained participants initiating training^[64,67,68] as well as in well-trained participants.^[64]

However, results from 2 studies conducted in our laboratory do not entirely support these findings.^[40,45,69,70] In the first study, 52 college football players undergoing off-season resistance/agility training (\approx 8 hours/week) were administered in a double-blind and randomised manner either a carbohydrate/electrolyte placebo, the placebo with calcium β -HMB 3 g/day, the placebo with creatine monohydrate 15.5 g/day, or the placebo with β -HMB 3 g/day and creatine monophosphate 15.5 g/day for 28 days. Fasting blood samples, dual energy x-ray absorptiometer (DEXA) determined body composition, maximal effort isotonic bench press, squat and power clean repetition tests, and a cycle ergometer sprint test (12 \times 6-second sprints with a 30-second rest recovery), were obtained before and after supplementation. Gains in fat-free mass in the placebo/ β -HMB group (1.4 ± 0.3 kg) were not significantly different to changes observed in the placebo group (1.3 ± 0.3 kg) and gains in fat-free mass in the placebo/creatine group (2.4 ± 0.4 kg) and the placebo/ β -HMB/creatine group (2.6 ± 0.5 kg) were significantly greater than the placebo and placebo/ β -HMB groups. There was no evidence that calcium β -HMB supplementation reduced muscle and liver enzyme efflux. Furthermore, calcium β -HMB supplementation had minimal effects on repetitive sprint performance and lifting volume. These findings suggest that calcium β -HMB during intense training has limited value.

In our second study, 40 experienced resistance-trained athletes were matched according to training volume (7.3 ± 1 hours/week) and fat-free mass, and assigned to supplement their diet for 28 days with a fortified carbohydrate/protein powder (84 g/day carbohydrate, 75 g/day protein, 3 g/day fat) containing calcium β -HMB 0, 3 or 6 g/day. Fasting blood samples, DEXA determined body composi-

tion, and 1RM leg press and bench press were obtained before and after the supplementation period. Changes in creatine kinase levels in the 6 g/day group tended to be lower ($p = 0.09$) than changes in the 0 g/day group (82 ± 71 ; -24 ± 28 ; -101 ± 92 IU for the 0, 3 and 6 g/day groups, respectively). However, no significant differences were observed between groups in LDH, urea nitrogen or creatinine levels, or in the ratio of urea nitrogen to creatinine. In addition, no statistically significant differences were observed in changes in fat-free mass (0.28 ± 0.4 , 0.69 ± 0.4 , 1.04 ± 0.3 kg; $p = 0.44$) or combined gains in bench press and leg press 1RM strength (1.8 ± 3 , 4.1 ± 2 , 4.6 ± 2 kg; $p = 0.68$). Consequently, although changes observed in fat-free mass and strength were similar to values previously reported in untrained individuals initiating training,^[64,65,67,68] ingesting calcium β -HMB 3 and 6 g/day with a fortified carbohydrate/protein supplement during resistance training did not promote statistically significant increases in fat-free mass or strength.

Although additional research is necessary, it appears that calcium β -HMB supplementation may help reduce catabolism and affect lean tissue accretion in untrained individuals initiating resistance training. However, it is less clear whether calcium β -HMB promotes muscle growth in well-trained athletes involved in intense resistance training. In the one study that reported significant gains in fat-free mass in resistance-trained athletes,^[64] calcium β -HMB was added to a popular carbohydrate/protein vitamin/mineral fortified meal replacement supplement and compared with participants ingesting isocaloric amounts of orange juice. Consequently, it is unclear whether calcium β -HMB supplementation and/or some combination of nutrients was responsible for the gains in fat-free mass observed.

Data from our laboratory suggest that supplementation with calcium β -HMB 3 and 6 g/day has limited effects on alterations in fat-free mass during resistance training in well-trained athletes. Furthermore, that other nutritional interventions such as dietary supplementation with creatine

or nutritional formulations containing creatine^[40,42,45,46,60,69,70] may be more effective in promoting muscle growth than calcium β -HMB. Consequently, our current recommendations in counselling resistance-trained athletes about calcium β -HMB supplementation is that:

- (i) it is unclear whether calcium β -HMB promotes muscle growth and/or gains in strength in well-trained athletes;
- (ii) there is evidence that supplementation of creatine and/or creatine-containing supplements may be more effective; and
- (iii) if they want to try this nutritional strategy that they ingest calcium β -HMB with a carbohydrate/protein meal vitamin/mineral fortified replacement powder or in addition to creatine and/or creatine-containing supplements.

2.2 Chromium

Chromium is an essential biologically active trace mineral primarily involved as a component of glucose tolerance factor.^[71,72] Glucose tolerance factor serves to potentiate insulin activity and is, therefore, an important nutrient necessary to maintain insulin function.^[71,72] Anabolic processes of protein synthesis within cells are intimately affected by chromium/insulin activity.^[72] Endurance exercise has been reported to promote urinary chromium excretion.^[73] Consequently, chromium supplementation during training has been proposed as a means of maintaining chromium stores and thereby maintaining insulin function.^[71] The interest in chromium as a nutrient to promote muscle growth is related to the role of chromium/insulin activity in the anabolic processes of protein synthesis.^[71] Although some beneficial effects of chromium supplementation (as picolinate or nicotinate) on insulin function and/or lipid profiles have been reported in patients with type 1 (insulin-dependent)^[72-74] and type 2 (non-insulin-dependent)^[75] diabetes mellitus and in healthy non-obese participants,^[76] the effects of chromium supplementation on body composition alterations during resistance training are conflicting.

Initial studies on chromium supplementation during resistance training suggested some benefit. Evans^[77] reported that chromium (as chromium picolinate) 200 μ g/day supplementation during 6 weeks of resistance training promoted significantly greater gains in fat-free mass and a greater loss in fat mass compared with a placebo. Hasten and colleagues^[78] reported that chromium (as chromium picolinate) 200 μ g/day supplementation during 12 weeks of resistance training did not significantly affect gains in fat-free mass or 1RM strength changes in men or women. However, the women supplementing their diet with chromium experienced a significant increase in total bodyweight suggesting that women may benefit to a greater degree from chromium supplementation than men. Results from these studies served as the basis for the marketing of chromium as a nutrient to promote muscle growth and fat loss. However, results of these studies have been questioned^[71] because of concerns about the methods used to determine body composition (i.e. anthropometric skin-folds).

Most studies conducted since these initial investigations have used more precise methods of assessing body composition (i.e. hydrodensitometry or DEXA) and have not supported contentions that chromium supplementation promotes lean tissue accretion during resistance training in healthy participants.^[42,79-81] For example, Clancy and co-workers^[79] investigated the effects of supplementing the diet with chromium (as chromium picolinate) 200 μ g/day on body composition and strength alterations during 9 weeks of off-season college football resistance/agility training. These researchers reported that although chromium supplementation increased urinary chromium excretion, no significant differences were observed in hydrostatically determined body composition or strength measures. Hallmark and associates^[80] and Lukaski et al.^[81] also reported that 8 to 12 weeks of chromium picolinate supplementation (200 μ g/day) during resistance training did not increase fat-free mass or strength. Findings from our laboratory support these contentions. We investigated the effects on body composition of supplementing the diet with a

popular carbohydrate/protein weight-gain powder which contained chromium (as chromium picolinate) 800 µg/day during resistance training for 28 days.^[42] DEXA body composition analysis revealed no significant difference in gains in fat-free mass between the group receiving the carbohydrate/protein/chromium supplement and a group ingesting a carbohydrate placebo.

The only recent study we are aware of that supports the contention that chromium supplementation affects body composition during training is a paper presented by Bulbulian and co-workers^[82] at the 1996 American College of Sports Medicine annual meeting. These researchers investigated the effects of 24 weeks of chromium supplementation (400 µg/day as chromium picolinate) on body composition alterations in 20 male and 20 female college swimmers. Overall, results indicated that chromium supplementation increased hydrostatically determined fat-free mass (3.3%), and decreased fat mass (-4.6%) and the percentage of body fat (-6.4%) compared with the placebo group. Moreover, that the female athletes observed a greater increase in fat-free mass and loss in fat mass than the male participants. The authors noted that the greatest change in body composition parameters occurred between 12 and 24 weeks of training which suggests that the effectiveness of chromium supplementation may require longer periods of supplementation at higher doses than previously studied in conjunction with a higher volume/intensity of training.

It appears clear from these studies that short term chromium supplementation (i.e. chromium 200 to 800 µg/day, as chromium picolinate, for 4 to 12 weeks) does not promote muscle growth in healthy resistance-trained individuals. Consequently, in my view, chromium supplementation should not be recommended to athletes as a method of promoting muscle growth or fat loss. However, additional research is warranted to evaluate the effects of chromium supplementation (as picolinate and nicotinate) on insulin activity and cardiovascular risk profiles in patient populations, such as those with diabetes mellitus, who may possibly benefit

from chromium supplementation. Further, given preliminary reports by Bulbulian and colleagues,^[82] additional research should investigate the long term effects of supplementation with chromium 200 to 400 µg/day on body composition alterations in male and female athletes involved in intense training.

2.3 Vanadyl Sulfate

Animal studies suggest that vanadyl sulfate serves as a insulin mimetic compound.^[83-86] Consequently, vanadyl sulfate has been purported to promote anabolism and muscle growth during training. Although clinical trials have indicated that vanadyl sulfate supplementation (100 mg/day for 3 weeks) in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus^[87] and sodium vanadate supplementation (125 mg/day for 2 weeks) in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus^[88] may affect insulin-sensitivity, little is known regarding the effects of vanadyl sulfate supplementation on body composition alterations during resistance training in healthy individuals.

Fawcett and co-workers^[89] investigated the effects of 12 weeks of vanadyl sulfate supplementation (0.5 mg/kg/day) during 12 weeks of resistance training on body composition and strength alterations in 31 experienced male and female resistance-trained athletes. DEXA body composition measurements were determined before and after supplementation while 1RM and 10RM bench press and leg extension tests were performed at weeks 0, 4, 8, and 12 of supplementation. There were no significant differences observed between the placebo and vanadyl sulfate supplemented groups in DEXA determined fat-free mass and total body mass. In addition, limited effects were observed in 1RM and 10RM strength results. Consequently, although additional research is necessary, it does not appear that vanadyl sulfate supplementation promotes muscle growth during resistance training in healthy participants.

2.4 Boron

The mineral boron has been marketed to athletes as a dietary supplement which may promote muscle growth during resistance training. The rationale for this contention was primarily based on an initial report that boron supplementation (3 mg/day) significantly increased β -estradiol and testosterone levels in postmenopausal women consuming a diet low in boron.^[90] However, subsequent studies that have investigated the effects of 7 weeks of boron supplementation (2.5 mg/day) during resistance training on testosterone levels, body composition and strength have reported no ergogenic value.^[91,92] Consequently, there is no evidence at this time that boron supplementation during resistance training promotes muscle growth.

2.5 Prasterone and Androstenedione

Prasterone (dehydroepiandrosterone; DHEA) and its sulfated conjugate dehydroepiandrosterone-sulfate (DHEAS) represent the most abundant adrenal steroids in circulation.^[93-95] The level of DHEAS in serum is approximately 300 to 500 times greater than that of prasterone which is approximately 20 times greater than remaining steroid hormones.^[93] Prasterone and DHEAS interconvert, and therefore, DHEAS serves as a major precursor to prasterone.^[94] Although prasterone is considered a weak androgen, it can be converted to the more potent androgens testosterone and dihydrotestosterone in tissues.^[93,94] In addition, DHEAS can be converted into androstenedione and testosterone.^[94]

Circulatory levels of prasterone have been reported to decline with age in humans.^[95] The decline in prasterone levels with aging has been associated with increased deposition of intra-abdominal fat and risk to atherosclerosis.^[96] Since prasterone and androstenedione are naturally occurring compounds, it has been hypothesised that dietary supplementation of prasterone and/or androstenedione may help maintain prasterone availability, maintain and/or increase testosterone levels, reduce body fat accumulation, and/or reduce risk to ath-

erosclerosis as one ages.^[95-98] In support of this contention, animal studies suggest that prasterone supplementation may decrease body fat^[99-101] possibly by promoting lipolysis^[101] and/or protein synthesis.^[102]

However, results in human trials have been mixed. De Pergola et al.^[96] reported that prasterone appeared to be the most sensitive of the androgens to body fat accumulation in premenopausal obese women. However, Denti and co-workers^[95] reported that age-related declines in DHEAS levels were not related to insulin sensitivity or body composition in men while changes in DHEAS levels were negatively correlated to changes in the hip to waist ratio in women.

To date, only a few studies have investigated the effects of prasterone supplementation on body composition alterations. Nestler and co-workers^[97] reported that prasterone supplementation (1600 mg/day for 28 days) in untrained healthy men significantly increased prasterone and androstenedione levels, did not significantly affect testosterone levels, promoted a 31% reduction in percent body fat, and decreased serum cholesterol levels. However, Vogiatzi and associates^[103] reported that prasterone supplementation (40 mg/day for 8 weeks) in obese adolescents significantly increased testosterone levels in women but had no effect on bodyweight, percent body fat, or serum lipid levels. These findings suggest that higher dose prasterone supplementation (1600 mg/day) may provide some health benefit while low dose prasterone supplementation (40 mg/day) does not appear to affect health status. However, additional research is necessary to evaluate the effects of aging on androgen availability and whether androgen replacement therapy may affect health status.

With regards to resistance-trained athletes, prasterone and androstenedione containing dietary supplements (typically 50 to 200 mg/day of prasterone and/or androstenedione) have been marketed as a nutritional means to increase androgen availability and promote muscle growth during training. However, this author is unaware of any published studies which have investigated the

effects prasterone and/or androstenedione supplementation on hormonal profiles or body composition alterations during resistance training. Until research is available to demonstrate that prasterone and/or androstenedione supplementation alters hormonal profiles and increases gains in fat-free mass during resistance training in young healthy participants, it is my view that prasterone and androstenedione supplementation should not be recommended. In addition, since prasterone is a precursor to testosterone, some athletic governing bodies have placed this supplement on their banned substance list. Consequently, athletes involved in organised athletics, particularly at the international level, should not supplement their diet with prasterone and/or androstenedione.

3. Conclusions and Implications

Nutritional strategies for promoting lean tissue accretion during resistance training have included: overfeeding, ingesting carbohydrate/protein before exercise in order to prevent exercise-induced catabolism, ingesting carbohydrate/protein following resistance-exercise in order to promote a more anabolic hormonal profile, and nutritional supplementation with various nutrients purported to promote muscle growth. Although additional research is necessary, the following conclusions can be made from reviewing the literature in this area.

1. Overfeeding 500 to 2000 Cal/day has been reported to be an effective means of increasing body mass. However, only 30 to 40% of the mass gained has been reported to be fat-free mass. Consequently, this does not seem to be an effective strategy to promote lean tissue accretion during resistance training.

2. Ingesting a carbohydrate/protein snack (e.g. 30 to 50g carbohydrate with 5 to 10g of protein) prior to exercise may help decrease exercise-induced catabolism while ingesting a carbohydrate/protein meal or supplement (e.g. 80 to 120g of carbohydrate with 15 to 40g of protein) within 2 hours after resistance-exercise may promote a more anabolic hormonal profile and glycogen resynthesis. Whether following these strategies would affect muscle

growth during resistance training remains to be determined.

3. Most studies indicate that protein (>2 g/kg/day), chromium (200 µg/day for 8 to 12 weeks), vanadyl sulfate (0.5 mg/kg/day for 12 weeks), and boron (2.5 g/day for 7 weeks) supplementation do not affect muscle growth during resistance training.

4. Additional research is warranted to evaluate the effects of glutamine, branched chain amino acid, prasterone, and androstenedione supplementation on protein synthesis, hormonal profiles and/or body composition alterations during resistance training.

5. Dietary supplementation with calcium β-HMB has been reported to promote greater gains in fat-free mass in untrained participants initiating resistance training (0.4 to 0.7kg). However, the effects of calcium β-HMB supplementation during resistance training in well-trained athletes are equivocal.

6. Dietary supplementation with creatine (20 to 25 g/day for 4 to 6 days then 2 to 25 g/day for up to 140 days) and specific nutritional formulations containing creatine (10 to 25 g/day for 28 to 84 days) have been reported to promote significant increases in fat-free mass during resistance training in well-trained athletes. Although no significant adverse effects from creatine supplementation have been reported in the scientific literature, additional long term studies are warranted to evaluate the long term safety of creatine supplementation.

7. Athletes should be educated on the importance of maintaining a well balanced, nutrient dense, energy balanced diet during resistance training as well as on the rationale, effectiveness and potential adverse effects of dietary supplements which they are contemplating adding to their diet.

References

1. Kraemer WJ. General adaptations to resistance and endurance training. In: Baechle T, editor. *Essentials of strength training and conditioning*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1994: 127-50
2. Forbes GB. Exercise and body composition. *J Appl Physiol* 1991; 70: 994-7
3. Wilmore JH. Alterations in strength, body composition, and anthropometric measurements consequent to a 10 week weight training program. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1974; 6: 133-8

4. Friedl KE. Performance-enhancing substances: effects, risks and appropriate alternative. In: Baechle T, editor. *Essentials of strength training and conditioning*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1994: 188-209
5. Forbes GB. The effect of anabolic steroids on lean body mass: the dose response curve. *Metabolism* 1985; 34: 571-3
6. Forbes GB. Sequence of changes in body composition induced by testosterone and reversal of changes after drug is stopped. *JAMA* 1992; 267: 397-9
7. Kuipers HJ, Winnen AG, Hartgens F, et al. Influence of anabolic steroids on body composition, blood pressure, lipid profile and liver functions in body builders. *Int J Sports Med* 1991; 12: 413-8
8. Crist DM, Peake GT, Loftfield RB, et al. Supplemental growth hormone alters body composition, muscle protein metabolism and serum lipids in fit adults: characterization of dose-dependent and response-recovery effects. *Mech Ageing Dev* 1991; 58: 191-205
9. Crist DM, Peake GT, Egan PA, et al. Body composition response to exogenous GH during training in highly conditioned adults. *J Appl Physiol* 1988; 65: 579-84
10. American College of Sports Medicine. Position stand on the use of anabolic-androgenic steroids in sport. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1987; 19: 534-9
11. Forbes GB, Brown MR, Welle SL, et al. Deliberate overfeeding in women and men: energy cost and composition of weight gain. *Br J Nutr* 1986; 56: 1-9
12. Welle S, Matthews DE, Campbell RG, et al. Stimulation of protein turnover by carbohydrate overfeeding in men. *Am J Physiol* 1989; 257 (3 Pt 1): E413-7
13. Carli G, Bonifazi M, Lodi L, et al. Changes in exercise-induced hormone response to branched chain amino acid administration. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1992; 64: 272-7
14. Cade JR, Reese RH, Privette RM, et al. Dietary intervention and training in swimmers. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1992; 63: 210-5
15. Chandler RM, Byrne HK, Patterson JG, et al. Dietary supplements affect the anabolic hormones after weight-training exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1994; 76: 839-45
16. Roy BD, Tarnopolsky MA, MacDougall JD, et al. Effect of glucose supplementation timing on protein metabolism after resistance training. *J Appl Physiol* 1997; 82: 1882-8
17. Zawadzki KM, Yaspelkis BB, Ivy JL. Carbohydrate-protein complex increases the rate of muscle glycogen storage after exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1992; 72: 1854-9
18. Tarnopolsky MA, Bosman M, Macdonald JR, et al. Postexercise protein-carbohydrate and carbohydrate supplements increase muscle glycogen in men and women. *J Appl Physiol* 1997; 83: 1877-83
19. Roy BD, Tarnopolsky MA. Influence of differing macronutrient intakes on muscle glycogen resynthesis after resistance exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 1998; 84: 890-6
20. Tarnopolsky MA, Atkinson SA, MacDougall JD, et al. Evaluation of protein requirements for trained strength athletes. *J Appl Physiol* 1992; 73: 1986-95
21. Lemon PW, Tarnopolsky MA, MacDougall JD, et al. Protein requirements and muscle mass/strength changes during intensive training in novice bodybuilders. *J Appl Physiol* 1992; 73: 767-75
22. Kreider RB, Miriel V, Bertun E. Amino acid supplementation and exercise performance: proposed ergogenic value. *Sports Med* 1993; 16: 190-209
23. Rennie MJ, Tadros L, Khogali S, et al. Glutamine transport and its metabolic effects. *J Nutr* 1994; 124 (8 Suppl.): 1503S-8S
24. Rennie MJ. Glutamine metabolism and transport in skeletal muscle and heart and their clinical relevance. *J Nutr* 1996; 126 (4 Suppl.): 1142S-9S
25. Low SY, Taylor PM, Rennie MJ. Responses of glutamine transport in cultured rat skeletal muscle to osmotically induced changes in cell volume. *J Physiol (Lond)* 1996; 492 (Pt 3): 877-85
26. Varnier M, Leese GP, Thompson J, et al. Stimulatory effect of glutamine on glycogen accumulation in human skeletal muscle. *Am J Physiol* 1995; 269 (2 Pt 1): E309-15
27. Parry-Billings M, Budgett R, Koutedakis K, et al. Plasma amino acid concentrations in the overtraining syndrome: possible effects on the immune system. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1992; 24: 1353-8
28. Kargotich S, Rowbottom DG, Keast D, et al. Plasma glutamine changes after high intensity exercise in elite male swimmers [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1996; 28: S133
29. Kreider RB. Central fatigue hypothesis and overtraining. In: Kreider RB, Fry AC, O'Toole ML, editors. *Overtraining in sport*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1998: 309-31
30. Bloomstrand E, Hassmen P, Ekblom B, et al. Administration of branch-chain amino acids during sustained exercise – effects on performance and on plasma concentration of some amino acids. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1991; 63: 83-8
31. Coombes J, McNaughton L. The effects of branched chain amino acid supplementation on indicators of muscle damage after prolonged strenuous exercise [abstract]. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1995; 27: S149
32. Kreider RB, Miller GW, Mitchell M, et al. Effects of amino acid supplementation on ultraendurance triathlon performance. *Proceedings of the I World Congress on Sport Nutrition*; 1992 Jun 16-18: Barcelona. Barcelona: Enero, 1992: 488-536
33. Mourier A, Bigard AX, de Kerviler E. Combined effects of caloric restriction and branched-chain amino acid supplementation on body composition and exercise performance in elite wrestlers. *Int J Sports Med* 1997; 18: 47-55
34. Kreider RB, Miriel V, Bertun E, et al. Effects of amino acid and carnitine supplementation on markers of protein catabolism and body composition during 25 weeks of swim training [abstract]. *Southeast American College of Sports Medicine Conference Abstracts*: 1993 Jan 29. American College of Sports Medicine, 1993: 20: 45
35. Kreider R. Effects of creatine loading on muscular strength and body composition. *Nat Strength Cond Assoc J* 1995; Oct: 72-3
36. Greenhaff P, Bodin K, Söderlund K, et al. Effect of oral creatine supplementation on skeletal muscle phosphocreatine resynthesis. *Am J Physiol* 1994; 266: E725-30
37. Lemon P, Boska M, Bredle DL, et al. Effect of oral creatine supplementation on energetic during repeated maximal muscle contraction [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1995; 27: S204
38. Becque B, Lochmann J, Melrose D. Effect of creatine supplementation during strength training on 1 RM and body composition [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1997; 29: S146
39. Earnest C, Snell P, Rodriguez R, et al. The effect of creatine monohydrate ingestion on anaerobic power indices, muscular strength and body composition. *Acta Physiol Scand* 1995; 153: 207-9
40. Kreider R, Ferreira M, Wilson M, et al. Effects of creatine supplementation on body composition, strength and sprint performance. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1998; 30: 73-82
41. Ziegenfuss T, Lemon P, Rogers M, et al. Acute creatine ingestion: effects on muscle volume, anaerobic power, fluid vol-

- umes, and protein turnover [abstract]. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1997; 29: S127
42. Kreider R, Klesges R, Harmon K, et al. Effects of ingesting supplements designed to promote lean tissue accretion on body composition during resistance exercise. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1996; 6: 234-46
 43. Stout J, Eckerson J, Noonan D, et al. The effects of a supplement designed to augment creatine uptake on exercise performance and fat-free mass in football players [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1997; 29: S251
 44. Kirksey K, Warren B, Stone M, et al. The effects of six weeks of creatine monohydrate supplementation in male and female track athletes [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1997; 29: S145
 45. Kreider R, Ferreira M, Wilson M, et al. Effects of calcium β -HMB supplementation with or without creatine during training on body composition alterations [abstract]. *FASEB J* 1997; 11: A374
 46. Kreider R, Ferreira M, Wilson M, et al. Effects of creatine supplementation with and without glucose on body composition in trained and untrained men and women [abstract]. *J Str Cond Res* 1997; 11: 283
 47. Vandenburghe K, Goris M, Van Hecke P, et al. Long-term creatine intake is beneficial to muscle performance during resistance-training. *J Appl Physiol* 1997; 83: 2055-63
 48. Casey A, Constantin-Teodosiu D, Howell D, et al. Creatine ingestion favorably affects performance and muscle metabolism during maximal exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1996; 271: E31-7
 49. Birch R, Noble D, Greenhaff P. The influence of dietary creatine supplementation on performance during repeated bouts of maximal isokinetic cycling in man. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 1994; 69: 268-70
 50. Greenhaff P, Casey A, Short A, et al. Influence of oral creatine supplementation of muscle torque during repeated bouts of maximal voluntary exercise in man. *Clin Sci* 1993; 84: 565-71
 51. Grindstaff P, Kreider R, Bishop R, et al. Effects of creatine supplementation on repetitive sprint performance and body composition in competitive swimmers. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1997; 7: 330-46
 52. Prevost M, Nelson A, Morris G. The effects of creatine supplementation on total work output and metabolism during high-intensity intermittent exercise. *Res Q Exerc Sport* 1997; 68: 233-40
 53. Balsom P, Söderlund K, Sjödin B, et al. Skeletal muscle metabolism during short duration high-intensity exercise: influence of creatine supplementation. *Acta Physiol Scand* 1995; 1154: 303-10
 54. Dawson B, Cutler M, Moody A, et al. Effects of oral creatine loading on single and repeated maximal short sprints. *Aust J Sci Med Sport* 1995; 27: 56-61
 55. Harris R, Viru M, Greenhaff P, et al. The effect of oral creatine supplementation on running performance during maximal short term exercise in man [abstract]. *J Physiol* 1993; 467: 74P
 56. Volek J, Kraemer W, Bush J, et al. Creatine supplementation enhances muscular performance during high-intensity resistance exercise. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1997; 97: 765-70
 57. Balsom P, Söderlund K, Ekblom B. Creatine in humans with special references to creatine supplementation. *Sports Med* 1994; 18: 268-80
 58. Bessman S, Savabi F. The role of the phosphocreatine energy shuttle in exercise and muscle hypertrophy. In: Taylor A, Gollnick P, Green H, editors. *International series on sport sciences: biochemistry of exercise VII*. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics, 1988: 167-78
 59. Ingwall J. Creatine and the control of muscle-specific protein synthesis in cardiac and skeletal muscle. *Circ Res* 1976; 38: 1115-23
 60. Kreider R, Grindstaff P, Wood L, et al. Effects of ingesting a lean mass promoting supplement during resistance training on isokinetic performance [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1996; 28: S36
 61. Kreider RB. Creatine, the next ergogenic supplement? *Sportscience News* 1998 Mar 8 [online]. Available from: URL: <http://www.sportsci.org/traintech/creatine/rbk.html>
 62. Kreider RB. Creatine supplementation: analysis of ergogenic value, medical safety and concerns. *J Exerc Physiol*. In press
 63. Nair KS, Schwartz RG, Welle S. Leucine as a regulator of whole body and skeletal muscle protein metabolism in humans. *Am J Physiol* 1992; 263 (5 Pt 1): E928-34
 64. Nissen S, Sharp R, Ray M, et al. Effect of leucine metabolite beta-hydroxy-beta-methylbutyrate on muscle metabolism during resistance-exercise training. *J Appl Physiol* 1996; 81: 2095-104
 65. Nissen S, Faidley TD, Zimmerman DR, et al. Colostral milk fat and pig performance are enhanced by feeding the leucine metabolite β -hydroxy- β -methylbutyrate to sows. *J Anim Sci* 1994; 72: 2331-7
 66. Van Koeveering MT, Dolezal HG, Gill DR, et al. Effects of β -hydroxy- β -methylbutyrate on performance and carcass quality of feedlot steers. *J Anim Sci* 1994; 72: 1927-35
 67. Nissen S, Panton L, Fuller J, et al. Effect of feeding β -hydroxy- β -methylbutyrate (HMB) on body composition and strength of women [abstract]. *FASEB J* 1997; 11: A150
 68. Vukovich MD, Stubbs NB, Bohlken RM, et al. The effect of dietary β -hydroxy- β -methylbutyrate (HMB) on strength gains and body composition changes in older adults [abstract]. *FASEB J* 1997; 11: A376
 69. Almada A, Kreider R, Ferreira M, et al. Effects of calcium β -HMB supplementation with or without creatine during training on strength and sprint capacity [abstract]. *FASEB J* 1997; 11: A374
 70. Kreider R, Ferreira M, Wilson M, et al. Effects of calcium β -HMB supplementation during training on body composition and strength. 4th International Olympic Committee World Congress on Sport Sciences; 1997 Oct 22-25: Monte Carlo. Lausanne: Sportec Organization, 1997
 71. Lefavi RG, Anderson RA, Keith RE, et al. Efficacy of chromium supplementation in athletes: emphasis on anabolism. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1992; 2: 111-22
 72. Anderson RA. Chromium metabolism and its role in disease process in man. *Clin Physiol Biochem* 1986; 4: 31-41
 73. Anderson RA, Bryden NA, Polansky MM, et al. Exercise effects on chromium excretion of trained and untrained men consuming a constant diet. *J Appl Physiol* 1988; 64: 249-52
 74. Grant KE, Chandler RM, Castle AL. Chromium and exercise training: effect on obese women. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1997; 29: 992-8
 75. Thomas VL, Gropper SS. Effect of chromium nicotinic acid supplementation on selected cardiovascular disease risk factors. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 1996; 55: 297-305
 76. Wilson BE, Gandy A. Effects of chromium supplementation on fasting insulin levels and lipid parameters in healthy, non-obese young subjects. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1995; 28: 179-84
 77. Evans GW. The effect of chromium picolinate on insulin controlled parameters in humans. *Int J Biosocial Med Res* 1989; 8: 1391-401

78. Hasten DL, Rome EP, Franks BD, et al. Effects of chromium picolinate on beginning weight training students. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1992; 2: 343-50
79. Clancy SP, Clarkson PM, DeCheke ME, et al. Effects of chromium picolinate supplementation on body composition, strength, and urinary chromium loss in football players. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1994; 4: 142-53
80. Hallmark MA, Reynolds TH, DeSouza CA, et al. Effects of chromium and resistive training on muscle strength and body composition. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1996; 28: 139-44
81. Lukaski HC, Bolonchuk WW, Siders WA, et al. Chromium supplementation and resistance training: effects on body composition, strength, and trace element status of men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1996; 63: 954-65
82. Bulbulian R, Pringle DD, Liddy MS. Chromium picolinate supplementation in male and female swimmers [abstract]. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 1996; 28: S111
83. Cam MC, Pederson RA, Brownsey RW et al. Long-term effectiveness of oral vanadyl sulphate in streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Diabetologia* 1993; 36: 218-24
84. Hyliger CE, Tahiliani AG, McNeill JH. Effect of vanadate on elevated blood glucose and depressed cardiac performance of diabetic rats. *Science* 1985; 227: 1474-7
85. Ramanadham S, Mongold JJ, Bownsey RW, et al. Oral vanadyl sulfate in the treatment of diabetes mellitus in rat. *Am J Physiol* 1989; 257: H904-11
86. Robinson KA. Concerning the biochemistry of the active form of vanadium. *Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 1981; 212: 65-84
87. Cohen N, Halberstam M, Shlimovich P, et al. Oral vanadyl sulfate improves hepatic and peripheral insulin sensitivity in patients with non insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest* 1995; 95: 2501-9
88. Goldfine AB, Simonson DC, Folli F, et al. Metabolic effects of sodium metavanadate in humans with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1995; 80: 3311-20
89. Fawcett JP, Farquhar SJ, Walker RJ, et al. The effect of oral vanadyl sulfate on body composition and performance in weight-trained athletes. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1996; 6: 382-90
90. Nielsen FH, Hunt CD, Mullen LM et al. Effect of dietary boron on mineral, estrogen, and testosterone metabolism in postmenopausal women. *FASEB J* 1987; 1: 394-7
91. Ferrando AA, Green NR. The effect of boron supplementation on lean body mass, plasma testosterone levels, and strength in male bodybuilders. *Int J Sport Nutr* 1993; 3: 140-9
92. Green NR, Ferrando AA. Plasma boron and the effects of boron supplementation in males. *Environ Health Perspect* 1994; 102 Suppl. 7: 73-7
93. Ebeling P, Kolvisto VA. Physiological importance of dehydroepiandrosterone. *Lancet* 1994; 343: 1479-81
94. Longcope C. Dehydroepiandrosterone metabolism. *J Endocrinol* 1996; 150: S125-7
95. Denti L, Pasolini G, Sanfelici L, et al. Effects of aging on dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate in relation to fasting insulin levels and body composition assessed by bioimpedance analysis. *Metabolism* 1997; 46: 826-32
96. De Pergola G, Zamboni M, Sciaraffia M, et al. Body fat accumulation is possibly responsible for lower dehydroepiandrosterone circulating levels in premenopausal women. *Int J Obesity* 1996; 20: 1105-10
97. Nestler JE, Barlascini CO, Clore JN, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone reduces serum low density lipoprotein levels and body fat but does not alter insulin sensitivity in normal men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1988; 66: 57-61
98. Yen SS, Morales AJ, Khorram O. Replacement of DHEA in aging men and women. Potential remedial effects. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1995; 774: 128-42
99. Cleary MP, Shepherd A, Jenks B. Effect of dehydroepiandrosterone on growth in lean and obese Zucker rats. *J Nutr* 1984; 114: 1242-51
100. Tagliaferro AR, Davis JR, Truchon S, et al. Effects of dehydroepiandrosterone acetate on metabolism, body weight and composition of male and female rats. *J Nutr* 1986; 116: 1977-83
101. Mohan PF, Cleary MP. Short-term effects of dehydroepiandrosterone treatment in rats on mitochondrial respiration. *J Nutr* 1991; 121: 240-50
102. Tagliaferro AR, Ronan AM, Payne J, et al. Increased lipolysis to β -adrenergic stimulation after dehydroepiandrosterone treatment in rats. *Am J Physiol* 1995; 268 (37): R1374-80
103. Vogiatzi MG, Boeck MA, Vlachopapadopoulou E, et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone in morbidly obese adolescents: effects on weight, body composition, lipids, and insulin resistance. *Metabolism* 1996; 45: 1011-5

Correspondence and reprints: Dr *Richard B. Kreider*, Department of Human Movement Sciences and Education, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, USA.
E-mail: kreider.richard@coe.memphis.edu