Effects of resistance training and detraining on muscle strength and blood lipid profiles in postmenopausal women
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Objectives: To study the effects of eight weeks of supervised, low intensity resistance training (80% of 10 repetition maximum (10RM)) and eight weeks of detraining on muscle strength and blood lipid profiles in healthy, sedentary postmenopausal women.

Subjects: Fifteen postmenopausal women, aged 49–62 years, took part in the study. Subjects were assigned to either a control (n = 7) or training (n = 8) group. The training regimen consisted of three sets of eight repetitions of leg press, bench press, knee extension, knee flexion, and lat pull-down, three days a week at 80% of 10RM. Dynamic leg strength, 10RM, and blood lipid profiles (total cholesterol (TC), low and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C, HDL-C), triglycerides, and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C)) were measured at baseline, after eight weeks of training, and after a further eight weeks of detraining.

Results: Eight weeks of resistance training produced significant increases in knee extension ($F_{1,13} = 12.60; p<0.01$), bench press ($F_{1,13} = 13.79; p<0.01$), leg press ($F_{1,13} = 15.65; p<0.01$), and lat pull-down ($F_{1,13} = 16.60; p<0.005$) 10RM strength tests. Although 10RM strength decreased after eight weeks of detraining, the results remained significantly elevated from baseline measures. Eight weeks of training did not result in any significant alterations in blood lipid profiles, body composition, or dynamic isokinetic leg strength. There were no significant differences in any of the variables investigated over the 16 week period in the control group.

Conclusions: These data suggest that a short, low intensity resistance training programme produces substantial improvements in muscle strength. Training of this intensity and duration was not sufficient to produce significant alterations in blood lipid concentrations.

Age related muscle weakness is a well accepted and reported phenomenon caused by muscle atrophy and specific weakening of the remaining muscle. Muscle atrophy is a coalition of functional disuse and an alteration in muscle composition. Adults who do not perform regular resistance exercise lose approximately 0.46 kg of muscle per annum from the fifth decade. Furthermore, sedentary subjects have reported a 50% reduction in type II muscle fibres, the fibres responsible for high levels of strength, by age 80 years.

Depletion of sex hormone has been hypothesised as the cause of specific muscle weakness, as women experience a dramatic reduction in muscle strength after the menopause. Strength can be maintained or increased at this time by the administration of hormone replacement therapy (HRT). Alternatively, resistance exercise can be used as a potential method of offsetting any decline in muscle strength after the menopause, as significant improvements in muscle strength have been shown in elderly women after resistance training. This is of particular relevance to patients with oestrogen dependent cancers, active thrombophlebitis, or thromboembolic disorders, liver disease, or Dubin-Johnson and Rotor syndromes, for whom HRT use is contraindicated.

In addition to specific muscle weakness, negative changes in blood lipid profiles are associated with advancing age and alterations in reproductive function, which combined have serious implications for women’s health. After the menopause, oestrogen levels decline causing adverse effects on serum lipids and lipoproteins. Oestrogen replacement therapy has been consistently shown to increase high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), while concomitantly reducing serum total and low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, in postmenopausal women. Previous research has also examined the links between aerobic exercise and positive alterations to the blood lipid profile. Prabhakaran et al examined the effect of resistance training (14 weeks training at 85% of 1RM) on blood lipid profiles in premenopausal women. They found a significant decrease in total cholesterol (TC), LDL-C and the TC/HDL-C ratio, but no significant differences in HDL-C or triglyceride concentrations. Both epidemiological and clinical investigations have shown that lower TC and LDL-C levels, combined with raised HDL-C levels, are associated with a reduced risk of coronary heart disease. Bemben and Bemben observed raised HDL-C concentrations in hormone depleted postmenopausal women after a 16 week Dynaband training programme. With the exception of these studies, the impact of resistance training on blood lipid profiles in women has been largely ignored, particularly in the postmenopausal population who have an increased risk of cardiovascular disease.

Therefore the purpose of this study was to examine the effects of a low intensity, progressive resistance training programme (eight weeks supervised resistance training, three days a week at 80% of 10RM) on muscle strength and blood lipid profiles in postmenopausal women depleted of sex hormone. The effect of eight weeks of detraining was also investigated.

Abbreviations: HRT, hormone replacement therapy; 10RM, ten repetition maximum; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TC, total cholesterol; VLDL-C, very low density lipoprotein cholesterol; BMI, body mass index
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Twenty-five sedentary, postmenopausal women volunteered to participate in this study. Eight dropped out for personal reasons and two were excluded because of high blood pressure. Subjects were considered to be sedentary if they did not report any physical activity in excess of “everyday household tasks.” Postmenopausal status (more than one year of amenorrhoea) was confirmed by blood sampling (<150 pmol/l oestriol; >20 units/l follicle stimulating hormone and luteinising hormone). Subjects were randomly assigned to either a training or a control group: eight in the training group (mean (SD) age 53 (3) years) and seven in the control group (mean (SD) age 58 (4) years). They were matched for years since menopause (5 (3) years in training group and 4 (3) years in control group).

Subjects were not receiving HRT or any other medication known to alter muscle strength. In addition, all subjects were free from myopathic, neuropathic, skeletal, thrombotic, or cardiovascular disorders. Approval for the experimental protocol was obtained from the institution’s research ethics committee. All subjects provided written consent having read and understood the details of the experiment.

Experimental design

Before the experiment, all subjects were familiarised with the laboratory environment and experimental procedures. Both groups were then tested on three occasions (baseline, after training, and after detraining for the training and control groups. Values are mean (SD) *Significant difference from baseline at the p<0.01 level; **significant difference from baseline at the p<0.005 level.

Measurement protocols

On arrival at the laboratory, subjects were seated and allowed to rest for 15 minutes, after which blood pressure was recorded. A 10 ml blood sample was then drawn from the contralateral arm after a further five minutes seated rest. Height, body mass, skinfold thicknesses, and waist and hip circumferences were recorded. Dynamic isokinetic leg strength and 10RM were subsequently measured.

Height and body mass

Height and body mass were recorded using a portable stadiometer and balance weighing scales respectively. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using the standard formula (mass (kg) divided by height² (m)). In addition, waist/hip ratio was calculated using waist circumference, measured at the umbilicus level, and hip girth, measured at the maximum circumference of the buttocks.

Skinfold thickness

Skinfold thickness was measured, to the nearest 0.5 mm, at four predetermined sites (biceps, triceps, subscapular, and suprailiac) using Harpenden callipers (John Bull, CMS Weighing Equipment Ltd, Camden, London, UK). Skinfold thicknesses were then used to calculate the percentage body fat by the techniques of Durnin and Womersley. All measurements were taken on the right hand side of the body by the same female experimenter.

Blood pressure

Subjects were seated and allowed to rest for 15 minutes. Blood pressure was measured using an automatic sphygmomanometer (model 8111; Dynamap 8100T; Critikon, Orange Park, Florida, USA). Mean arterial pressure was calculated using the standard formula: ((systolic pressure−diastolic pressure)/3) + diastolic pressure).

Blood sampling

A venous blood sample (10 ml) was withdrawn from a prominent superficial vein in the antecubital fossa using a clean venepuncture with minimal stasis. Five millilitres of whole blood was placed in a tube containing a dipotassium ethylenediamine tetraacetate-acetic acid coating and rapidly stored at −75°C for the subsequent determination of blood lipid concentrations. Blood lipid concentrations (TC, HDL-C, and triglyceride) were determined using the Monarch Chemistry System (Instrumentation Laboratories, Warrington, Lancashire, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay reagents were supplied by Sigma Diagnostics (St Louis, Missouri, USA). Levels of LDL-C and very low density lipoprotein cholesterol (VLDL-C) were calculated using standard equations. The remaining 5 ml was placed in a tube containing a lithium heparin coating and spun at 2800 rpm for 10 minutes. Plasma was retained and rapidly stored at −70°C for the subsequent determination of total concentrations of 17β-oestradiol, luteinising hormone, and follicle stimulating hormone, using a Mini-Vidas System (bioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay reagents were supplied by bioMerieux.

Ten repetition maximum

10RM was assessed for bilateral leg extension and flexion, leg press, bench press, and lat pull down, using weight machines (Power House, Manchester, UK). The weight machines were adjusted to meet the requirements of each subject. Every adjustment was recorded (using the numbered scales on each attachment, for example chair height) and used for all subsequent training and assessment. Subjects performed each exercise to the full extent of their range of motion.
10RM was taken as the heaviest weight that could be lifted 10 times throughout a complete range of motion. The 10RM was achieved by increasing the load by 5 kg after each successful set of lifts (10 lifts per set) until the maximum load sustainable for 10 lifts was obtained. Subjects were given a five minute rest interval between each weight increment.

### Dynamic leg strength

Before assessment of maximum force, the subjects performed a standardised warm up for five minutes on a cycle ergometer (Monark, Stockholm, Sweden) at 60 rpm with no resistance, and a series of standardised stretches of the quadriceps and hamstring muscles. Dynamic and isometric knee extension and flexion were assessed using a gravity compensated, computer controlled, isokinetic dynamometer (Lido Active; Loredan, Davis, California, USA). Stabilisation straps were secured across the hips and over the shoulders. The ankle cuff was attached around the ankle, proximal to the malleoli. The machine’s actuator shaft was aligned with an imaginary transverse line through the femoral condyles. The original knee position was flexion, such that the initial movement was extension. Range of motion (as close to 0 and 90° (flexion and extension respectively) as possible) was determined and set before the leg was gravity compensated. A resistance pad was placed on the quadriceps, proximal to the patella, to prevent excessive thigh movement.

Subjects performed two submaximal contractions before assessment of maximal voluntary contractions. After three minutes rest, three dynamic maximal voluntary contractions, at angular velocities of 1.04 and 2.09 rad/s, were performed. The greatest peak torque achieved during maximal voluntary contraction was recorded. A one minute rest separated each contraction. Subjects completed this protocol at both velocities using the dominant leg. Standardised verbal encouragement and visual feedback were offered throughout the exercise to promote maximal effort.

### Training protocol

Before training, the 10RM for each exercise was assessed on two occasions separated by 24 hours. Subjects performed a five minute self paced warm up on a cycle ergometer, followed by a series of standardised stretches. They then performed a warm up of 10 repetitions at 50% of 10RM, for each exercise. 10RM was re-evaluated after four weeks, and training loads adjusted. Subjects performed three sets of eight repetitions at 80% of their 10RM, with a two minute rest between sets. Subjects cooled down using the same series of stretches that were used for the warm up. In addition to reporting patterns of physical activity, subjects were required to keep training diaries so that the lifting loads could be monitored.

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using a two factor general linear model, incorporating a mixed design, with one between subjects factor of group (two levels: training and control) and one within subjects factor of time (three levels: baseline, after intervention, and detraining). All analyses were corrected for sphericity using the methods of Greenhouse and Geisser. Significance was accepted at the p<0.05 level.

Post hoc analyses were conducted using paired t tests to examine differences between resting values and those after eight weeks of training and a further eight weeks of detraining. Bonferroni correction was applied meaning that statistical significance was accepted at the p<0.0166 level.

### RESULTS

At baseline, there were no significant differences between groups for any strength measure. Statistical analysis showed significant group by time interactions for lat pull down (F_{1,13} = 16.60; p<0.005), bench press (F_{1,13} = 13.79; p<0.01), leg press (F_{1,13} = 15.65; p<0.01), and knee extension (F_{1,13} = 12.60; p<0.01) 10RM strength. However, no significant interaction was observed for 10RM knee flexion (F_{1,13} = 0.87; p = 0.37). Further examination of the significant interactions using paired t tests showed that eight weeks of resistance training resulted in significant increases in 10RM strength in the training group compared with controls (fig 1). Lat pull down increased by 88% (p<0.005), bench press by 120% (p<0.005), leg press by 80% (p<0.005), and knee extension by 650% (p<0.005) in the training group. Although 10RM strength decreased after eight weeks of detraining, results remained significantly elevated from baseline measures in the training group for lat pull down (p<0.005), bench press (p<0.005), leg press (p<0.005), and knee extension (p<0.01). Although eight weeks of training resulted in significant changes in 10RM strength, there were no significant differences in isokinetic knee extension or flexion tests, when measured at either 1.04 or 2.09 rad/s (table 1).

There were no significant time or group main effects for TC, HDL-C, LDL-C, VLDL-C, TC/LDL-C ratio, TC/HDL-C ratio or triglyceride concentrations, nor were there any significant time by group interactions (table 2). This illustrates that blood lipid concentrations were unaffected by eight weeks of resistance training at 80% of 10RM. The data also show that after training there were no significant alterations in body composition, as indicated by body mass, waist to hip ratio, percentage body fat, or BMI (table 3).

The results indicate that resistance training had no significant effect on blood pressure (table 4). The effect size for changes in systolic, diastolic, and mean arterial blood pressure in the training group was 0.473, 0.63, and 0.513 respectively. A closer examination of the data indicated that the training group exhibited a trend towards a reduction in systolic (11.03%, p = 0.139), diastolic (8.5%, p = 0.059), and mean arterial (9.7%, p = 0.157) blood pressures, with concomitant increases back towards baseline values after eight weeks of detraining. No such trend was observed in the control group.

### Table 1 Dynamic isokinetic leg strength over the 16 week test period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After training</th>
<th>After detraining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leg extension (N·m)</strong></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>83.50 (21.54)</td>
<td>85.88 (21.05)</td>
<td>82.00 (22.34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.04 rad/s)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>59.14 (18.66)</td>
<td>67.00 (20.05)</td>
<td>67.43 (20.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leg flexion (N·m)</strong></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>44.75 (13.26)</td>
<td>44.00 (11.34)</td>
<td>45.25 (11.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.04 rad/s)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>37.71 (11.68)</td>
<td>37.43 (12.29)</td>
<td>39.43 (9.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leg extension (N·m)</strong></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>77.38 (13.44)</td>
<td>72.50 (18.20)</td>
<td>67.88 (16.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.09 rad/s)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>58.43 (16.27)</td>
<td>56.57 (19.46)</td>
<td>57.43 (20.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leg flexion (N·m)</strong></td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>42.88 (10.72)</td>
<td>45.00 (10.72)</td>
<td>44.13 (8.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.09 rad/s)</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>43.00 (10.63)</td>
<td>33.43 (7.18)</td>
<td>35.57 (10.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).
DISCUSSION

The results show significant increases in 10RM strength for exercises involving arm and leg muscles in postmenopausal women. The improvements in strength may have resulted from muscle hypertrophy, although this cannot be confirmed, as muscle cross sectional area was not measured. These findings show that a short duration, low intensity resistance training programme produced substantial improvements in muscle strength. Perhaps the most important benefit of increased muscle strength for postmenopausal women is a reduced risk of falling and sustaining injury. Improved strength may also aid functional activities such as rising from a low chair and climbing stairs. Resistance training programmes also have secondary general health benefits, including reversal of functional decline due to age, prevention and management of osteoporosis, and relief from arthritis. Supervised exercise may also have psychosocial advantages such as alleviation of feelings of depression, loneliness, and isolation and improvements in cognitive function.

The resistance training programme used in this study was ideally suited to postmenopausal women. Based on previous research, subjects trained three times a week, on non-consecutive days, performing three sets of each exercise at

---

Table 2  Blood lipid profiles over the 16 week test period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After training</th>
<th>After detraining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>6.94 (1.66)</td>
<td>6.50 (1.22)</td>
<td>5.94 (1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.62 (1.21)</td>
<td>6.77 (1.37)</td>
<td>6.54 (1.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>1.99 (0.37)</td>
<td>2.01 (0.32)</td>
<td>2.04 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.85 (0.38)</td>
<td>1.96 (0.37)</td>
<td>1.59 (0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>4.23 (1.69)</td>
<td>4.12 (1.35)</td>
<td>3.29 (0.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.45 (0.82)</td>
<td>4.43 (1.52)</td>
<td>4.08 (1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/HDL-C ratio</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>3.71 (1.55)</td>
<td>3.38 (1.17)</td>
<td>3.08 (1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.89 (1.00)</td>
<td>3.32 (0.86)</td>
<td>4.43 (1.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC/LDL-C ratio</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>1.56 (0.28)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.24)</td>
<td>1.71 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.46 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.58 (0.32)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-C (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>0.36 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.34 (0.15)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.31 (0.16)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/l)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>1.81 (1.54)</td>
<td>1.68 (0.76)</td>
<td>1.37 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.53 (0.80)</td>
<td>1.92 (1.14)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

Table 3  Body composition over the 16 week test period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After training</th>
<th>After detraining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>68.41 (13.06)</td>
<td>67.75 (12.99)</td>
<td>68.00 (12.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>59.99 (7.69)</td>
<td>60.36 (7.44)</td>
<td>59.86 (7.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>0.81 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.80 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>26.89 (5.94)</td>
<td>26.63 (5.92)</td>
<td>26.74 (5.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23.97 (2.58)</td>
<td>24.13 (2.53)</td>
<td>23.92 (2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Body fat</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>30.0 (3.0)</td>
<td>30.5 (3.0)</td>
<td>31.0 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>28.0 (4.0)</td>
<td>28.5 (4.0)</td>
<td>28.5 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).

Table 4  Blood pressure over the 16 week test period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>After training</th>
<th>After detraining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>133 (20)</td>
<td>118 (15)</td>
<td>129 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>133 (20)</td>
<td>132 (15)</td>
<td>134 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>72 (11)</td>
<td>66 (10)</td>
<td>67 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>75 (10)</td>
<td>78 (11)</td>
<td>77 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>Training</td>
<td>92 (13)</td>
<td>83 (10)</td>
<td>88 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>94 (11)</td>
<td>96 (10)</td>
<td>96 (10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SD).
80% of 10RM. Although this intensity is equivalent to 60% of 1RM, the use of 10RM to set work intensity was considered more appropriate in this sample as subjects were elderly and previously sedentary. This concession is especially pertinent during the initial stages of a progressive weight training programme, when considerable learning is taking place.

Despite significant improvements in 10RM strength with training, there were no significant changes in maximal dynamic leg strength. Lexell et al. reported that isokinetic strength did not increase to the same magnitude as dynamic 1RM strength after a heavy resistance training programme in elderly women. Several authors have reported similar results. Abernethy et al. suggested that when differences in strength after resistance training are measured, the mode of assessment is influential as strength tests differ in terms of movement patterns, contractile speeds, and loading modalities. The modality of the strength test will determine which neuromuscular qualities are assessed. Therefore, any potential differences in maximal dynamic isokinetic strength in this study may have been masked by an insensitive strength test.

The current training programme failed to evoke any significant alterations in blood lipid profiles. Similarly, Kokkinos et al. reported that strength training did not improve lipoprotein lipid profiles in middle-aged men. However, the findings of this study are in contrast with those of Bemben and Bemben, who found improvements in HDL-C concentrations and TC/HDL-C ratio after a 16 week Dynaband resistance exercise programme in postmenopausal women. Prabhakaran et al. also observed significant reductions in TC, LDL-C and TC/HDL-C ratio in a group of resistance trained women. However, these studies examined the effects of more prolonged (16 and 14 weeks respectively) and intense (Prabhakaran et al. trained subjects at 85% of 1RM) training programmes. Prabhakaran et al. were able to use a higher work intensity as they recruited a younger (mean age about 17 years) group of premenopausal women. However, they did not elicite changes in either HDL-C or triglyceride concentrations. Previous findings have suggested that exercise that fails to generate weight loss or the expenditure of more than 1100 kcal (4.6 MJ) of energy per exercise session will not affect HDL-C levels. No significant differences in body mass, BMI, waist/hip ratio, or percentage body fat were found after eight weeks of resistance training in this study. The results indicate that, whereas changes in muscle strength occur quite rapidly, changes in blood lipid profile occur at a lower rate and are independent of changes in muscle strength.

One of the reported benefits of increased physical activity for postmenopausal women is a reduction in blood pressure (high blood pressure is an independent cardiovascular risk factor). Although not significant, our data showed a trend towards a reduction in systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, and mean arterial pressure in the resistance trained group compared with controls. It should be noted that blood pressure after training decreased from values classified as high to values classified as optimal according to World Health Organisation guidelines. There is evidence that routine reductions in blood pressure of the magnitude shown in this study are associated with a significant decline in the risk of both stroke and ischaemic heart disease. This indicates that, although the decreases in blood pressure were not statistically significant, they may have clinical or biological significance. However, because of the dropout rate of our subjects, there was a relatively low statistical power attributed to the group by time interaction term (0.31). This is suggested as a possible reason for the non-significant findings for blood pressure. Thus, the possibility of committing a type II error must be considered when drawing conclusions from the blood pressure results of this study.

In conclusion, the training programme used in this study produced significant increases in 10RM strength, but failed to produce significant changes in blood lipid profiles, body composition, or blood pressure. Therefore we conclude that a low intensity, short duration resistance training programme should form the initial stage of a progressive resistance training programme for sedentary postmenopausal women. It may be that a longer training programme at higher work intensity is needed to evoke significant changes in blood lipid concentrations, blood pressure, or body composition. To examine the cumulative effects of HRT and resistance exercise, future research should also compare training in HRT users and non-HRT users.
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