Abstract

The route of estrogen replacement therapy has a major impact on the growth hormone (GH)/insulin-like growth factor–I (IGF-I) axis. Estrogen administration by the oral, but not the transdermal route, reduces IGF-I and increases GH levels in postmenopausal women. To investigate whether these perturbations have metabolic consequences, we compared the effects of 24 wk each of oral (Premarin 1.25 mg) and transdermal (Estraderm 100TTS) estrogen on energy metabolism and body composition in 18 postmenopausal women in an open-label randomized crossover study. Energy expenditure, lipid oxidation (lipid_ox), and carbohydrate oxidation (CHO_ox) were measured by indirect calorimetry in the fasted and fed state before and after 2 and 6 mon treatment. Lean body mass, fat mass, and total body bone mineral density were measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry before and after 6 mon treatment.

Mean (±SE) Luteinizing hormone levels fell to comparable levels during oral and transdermal estrogen, and bone mineral density was significantly increased by both treatments. Mean IGF-I was significantly lower during oral estrogen (77 ± 7 versus 97 ± 7 μg/liter, P < 0.05) treatment. Lipid_ox 30–60 min after a standardized meal was significantly lower (36 ± 5 versus 54 ± 5 mg/min, P < 0.01) and CHO_ox higher (147 ± 13 versus 109 ± 12 mg/min, P < 0.05) with oral compared with transdermal estrogen. Oral estrogen resulted in a 1.2 ± 0.5 kg (P < 0.05) increase in fat mass and a 1.2 ± 0.4 kg (P < 0.01) decrease in lean mass compared with transdermal estrogen. Lean body mass (0.4 ± 0.2 kg) and fat mass (0.1 ± 0.4 kg) did not change significantly during transdermal estrogen.

In summary, when compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen reduces lipid_ox, increases fat mass, and reduces lean body mass. The route of estrogen therapy confers distinct and divergent effects on substrate oxidation and body composition. The suppression of lipid_ox during oral estrogen therapy may increase fat mass although the fall in IGF-I may lead to a loss of lean body mass. The route-dependent changes in body composition observed during estrogen replacement therapy may have important implications for postmenopausal health. (J. Clin. Invest. 1998. 102:1035–1040.) Key words: growth hormone • insulin-like growth factor–I • estrogen • body composition • metabolism

Introduction

The route of estrogen replacement therapy in postmenopausal women has a major impact on the growth hormone (GH)/insulin-like growth factor–I (IGF-I) axis (1, 2). Estrogen administered via the oral but not the transdermal route reduces serum IGF-I and increases circulating GH as well as GH-binding protein levels. GH has major effects on substrate metabolism and body composition (3, 4, 5, 6, 7). The anabolic actions of GH are mediated through IGF-I, whereas other metabolic actions such as stimulation of lipolysis and lipid oxidation are direct. GH circulates partly bound to a high affinity binding protein, GH-binding protein, that alters the pharmacokinetics and distribution of GH (8) and may modulate GH action (9).

Because three major components of the somatotropic axis (GH, IGF-I, and GH-binding protein) are markedly affected by oral but not transdermal estrogen administration, we postulated that estrogen may exert significant metabolic effects that are dependent on its route of administration. To this end, we have undertaken a study to compare the effects of oral and transdermal estrogen administration on substrate oxidation and body composition in postmenopausal women.

Methods

Subjects. 23 healthy postmenopausal women were recruited from the general population. All subjects had cessation of menses for at least 12 mon with postmenopausal status confirmed by elevated gonadotrophins and low estradiol levels. 18 subjects completed the study (mean ± SE); 57 ± 1 yr, height 161.5 ± 1.4 cm, weight 69.5 ± 2.1 kg, body mass index 26.8 ± 1.0 kg/m²). Four subjects withdrew before completion; two because of irregular menstrual bleeding, one from a local allergic skin reaction to the transdermal medication, and one from an adverse experience unrelated to the trial medication. One subject commenced competitive sport that constituted a violation of the trial protocol. All subjects were in good health and were on no other medications. 10 subjects had not previously received estrogen replacement therapy. Eight subjects ceased estrogen replacement therapy at least 2 mon before entry into the study. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of St. Vincent’s Hospital. All subjects gave informed consent.

Study design. The design was an open-label randomized crossover study that allowed for the difference in treatment effect to be compared. Each subject was randomized to oral-conjugated estrogen 1.25 mg (Premarin, Wyeth-Ayerst, Philadelphia, PA) daily or transdermal estrogen patches (Estraderm-TTS 100, Ciba-Geigy, Sydney, Australia) delivering 100 μg of 17β-estradiol daily for 24 wk and the

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: BMD, bone mineral density; CV, coefficient of variation; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; GH, growth hormone; IGF-I, insulin-like growth factor–I; LH, luteinizing hormone.
patches were changed twice per week. The subjects then crossed over to the alternate estrogen treatment for a further 24 wk. 8 subjects were randomized to oral, and 10 to transdermal therapy at initiation. The dosage of each estrogen type was selected on the basis of published data indicating equivalent biological activity based on gonadotrophin suppression and changes in vaginal cytology (10, 11, 12). Medroxyprogesterone acetate 10 mg daily was coadministered on the last 12 d of each 4-wk cycle for the 48 wk (12 cycles) of total estrogen exposure. All investigations were performed in the estrogen only phase. Medications were administered as an 8-wk batch and compliance was checked by pill and patch counting. Subjects were instructed to follow their usual diet and exercise pattern.

Body composition was measured before and at the end of each estrogen treatment phase. Indirect calorimetry was performed before treatment and at 8 and 24 wk of each estrogen treatment phase. Fasting serum GH, IGF-I, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), thyroid-stimulating hormone, free triiodothyronine (free T3), free thyroxine (free T4), glucose, insulin, cholesterol, and triglyceride levels were measured before entry and at 24 and 48 wk.

**Study techniques.** Energy expenditure and substrate oxidation were measured by indirect calorimetry during the fasting and postprandial states, using the Deltatrac Metabolic Monitor (Datex Instrumentarium Corp., Helsinki, Finland), as previously described (13). Subjects presented at 8 a.m. after an overnight 12-h fast. A small plastic intravenous cannula was inserted under local anaesthetic for blood withdrawal. Subjects were then rested comfortably in the recumbent position for at least 40 min in preparation for measurement of basal energy expenditure and substrate oxidation, which was performed over the next 30 min. A standardized mixed meal (14% protein, 31.5% fat, 54.5% carbohydrate: Ensure, Ross Laboratories, Columbus, OH) was then administered over 5 min, and indirect calorimetry was performed during the 30–60-, 90–120-, and 150–180-min time intervals after the nutrient load. The mixed meal consisted of the caloric equivalent of 40.0% of the subject’s basal energy expenditure measured at the first study. For each subject, the identical meal quantity was used in subsequent studies. Separate urine samples were collected during the basal and meal periods from which urine urea nitrogen excretion was measured. Energy expenditure and substrate oxidation were estimated from the following equations (14): energy expenditure = 3.91 VO₂ + 1.10 VCO₂ − 3.34 N0.5 carbohydrate oxidation = 4.55 VCO₂ − 3.21 VO₂ − 2.87 N0.5 lipid oxidation = 1.67 VO₂ − 1.67 VCO₂ − 1.92 N0.5 Carbohydrate and lipid oxidation are expressed as grams per min. VO₂ represents oxygen consumption and VCO₂ represents carbon dioxide production in liters per min. N0.5 represents urinary urea nitrogen excretion in grams per min. The monitor was calibrated against standardized gases before each study. The coefficient of variation (CV) from five subjects studied on three separate occasions for energy expenditure was 4.2%, and substrate oxidation was 4%.

Body composition was measured by dual X-ray absorptiometry (Lunar DPX, Lunar Radiation Corp., Madison, WI) (6, 7). Dual X-ray absorptiometry provides a three-compartment model allowing total body bone mineral density (BMD), fat, and lean body mass to be quantified for the whole body and the three standard regions of trunk, arms, and legs. Central soft tissue composition was determined by defining a window extending from the top of the second to the bottom of the fourth lumbar vertebrae. In our laboratory the CV from 10 subjects scanned four times was 1.5% for BMD, 2.9% for fat mass, and 1.4% for lean body mass. The body composition data are expressed as the absolute changes in BMD, fat, and lean body mass observed during each of the estrogen treatment phases.

**Laboratory analysis.** GH and insulin were measured by double antibody radioimmunoassay as previously described (15). IGF-I was measured by radioimmunoassay after acid ethanol extraction using a recombinant human IGF-I standard (16). LH, FSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone, free T3, and free T4 were measured by immunoassays as previously described (1, 6). Glucose concentrations were analyzed on a glucose analyzer (Model 23AM, Yellow Springs Instrument Co., Yellow Springs, OH). Serum cholesterol and triglyceride levels were measured with an automated enzymatic method. Urinary urea nitrogen was measured by an enzymatic ultraviolet method (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany) and the inter- and intra-assay CV were 13 and 6% (6).

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed with the aid of the SAS statistical package (SAS/Stat, SAS Institute 1990, Cary, NC) using a random ANOVA model. GH levels were log transformed to achieve normal distribution before data analysis. Analysis for interactions between treatment and sequence was performed using the Grizzle Method (17). Treatment effects on substrate oxidation were analyzed by ANOVA with repeated measures with the degree of significance determined by Dunnet’s test. Results are expressed as ±SEM.

**Results**

**Endocrine data (Table I).** Both routes of estrogen therapy significantly reduced mean LH and FSH levels to the same degree after 24 wk. The mean fasting GH level at this time was significantly higher during oral estrogen than during transdermal estrogen therapy, and also higher than before starting treatment. Mean IGF-I level was significantly reduced by oral but not by transdermal estrogen therapy. Thyroid function tests were normal in all subjects before treatment and did not change significantly during either estrogen treatment. Mean fasting glucose or insulin levels were not significantly different between oral or transdermal estrogen therapies nor were the levels different from those obtained before treatment. The mean fasting triglyceride level obtained during oral estrogen treatment was significantly higher than that observed during transdermal therapy. The mean fasting cholesterol level was not significantly altered by oral or transdermal estrogen therapy.

**Energy metabolism.** Indirect calorimetry was performed before treatment and at 8 and 24 wk into each estrogen treatment phase. No significant difference in basal energy expenditure and diet-induced thermogenesis was observed between oral or transdermal estrogen therapy at 8 or 24 wk, nor did these measures of energy expenditure differ from those obtained before treatment (Fig. 1).

**Table I. Clinical and Fasting Biochemical Characteristics at Pretreatment and After 24 wk of Oral and Transdermal Estrogen Therapy in the 18 Postmenopausal Women**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Oral</th>
<th>Transdermal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>69.5±2.1</td>
<td>70.3±2.0</td>
<td>70.2±1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH (IU/liter)</td>
<td>30.9±2.7</td>
<td>10.7±2.5*</td>
<td>12.9±1.9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSH (IU/liter)</td>
<td>62.9±5.2</td>
<td>16.4±2.6*</td>
<td>24.7±2.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH (µg/liter)</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>1.4±0.2†</td>
<td>0.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-I (µg/liter)</td>
<td>108±10</td>
<td>77±7¶</td>
<td>97±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mU/liter)</td>
<td>1.6±0.2</td>
<td>1.6±0.2</td>
<td>1.7±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free T3 (pmol/liter)</td>
<td>7.2±0.2</td>
<td>6.7±0.2</td>
<td>6.8±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free T4 (pmol/liter)</td>
<td>14.7±0.5</td>
<td>15.4±0.4</td>
<td>14.6±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>4.6±0.1</td>
<td>4.7±0.1</td>
<td>4.9±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/liter)</td>
<td>45±5</td>
<td>40±5</td>
<td>45±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.4±0.2†</td>
<td>0.8±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>4.8±0.3</td>
<td>4.9±0.2</td>
<td>5.2±0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean±SE. *P < 0.05 oral versus transdermal, †P < 0.05 versus pretreatment.
No significant difference in basal lipid oxidation was observed between the two routes of estrogen therapy after 8 wk (Table II). Ingestion of the mixed meal acutely suppressed lipid oxidation during each treatment phase. However, when compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen administration resulted in a greater suppression of lipid oxidation during the first hour after ingestion of the standardized meal (Fig. 1). This greater but transient suppression of lipid oxidation in the early postprandial phase induced by the oral route was present at 24 wk of treatment (Table II).

Table II. Lipid (top) and Carbohydrate (CHO) Oxidation (bottom) in the Basal State and After the Mixed Meal at Pretreatment and After 8 and 24 wk of Oral and Transdermal Estrogen Therapy in the 18 Postmenopausal Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid oxidation (Mg/min)</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Oral 8 wk</th>
<th>Oral 24 wk</th>
<th>Transdermal 8 wk</th>
<th>Transdermal 24 wk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>56.7±3.6</td>
<td>55.5±4.8</td>
<td>52.1±3.8</td>
<td>58.0±4.2</td>
<td>55.0±3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–60 min</td>
<td>45.2±6.2</td>
<td>36.4±4.7*</td>
<td>33.9±3.6</td>
<td>53.9±4.9</td>
<td>43.4±5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–120 min</td>
<td>45.2±4.8</td>
<td>47.1±4.5</td>
<td>46.4±4.2</td>
<td>48.1±3.8</td>
<td>45.1±3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150–180 min</td>
<td>37.0±4.9</td>
<td>39.3±4.0</td>
<td>45.0±3.8</td>
<td>40.5±3.3</td>
<td>35.5±3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CHO oxidation (Mg/min)</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Oral 8 wk</th>
<th>Oral 24 wk</th>
<th>Transdermal 8 wk</th>
<th>Transdermal 24 wk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal</td>
<td>49±7</td>
<td>44±10</td>
<td>44±10</td>
<td>47±7</td>
<td>37±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–60 min</td>
<td>127±13</td>
<td>147±13*</td>
<td>149±10†</td>
<td>109±12</td>
<td>115±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90–120 min</td>
<td>130±10</td>
<td>125±8</td>
<td>134±9</td>
<td>120±8</td>
<td>119±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150–180 min</td>
<td>134±10</td>
<td>129±9</td>
<td>123±7</td>
<td>130±7</td>
<td>129±7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean±SE in mg/min. *P = 0.003 oral versus transdermal, †P = 0.009 oral versus transdermal, ‡P = 0.002 oral versus transdermal. 

No significant difference in basal carbohydrate oxidation between the two routes of estrogen therapy was observed after 8 wk (Fig. 1). Ingestion of the mixed meal increased carbohydrate oxidation during each treatment phase. However, when compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen administration resulted in a greater increase in carbohydrate oxidation during the first hour after ingestion of the standardized meal (Fig. 1). A greater but transient increase in carbohydrate oxidation in the early postprandial phase was also present with the oral route at 24 wk (Table II). No significant changes in urinary urea nitrogen excretion were observed in the basal state or during the mixed meal during either estrogen treatment (data not shown). Thus, when compared with transdermal estrogen, oral estrogen therapy resulted in an early but transient suppression of lipid oxidation and a reciprocal elevation of carbohydrate oxidation after a nutrient load.

Body composition. The data were analyzed to determine whether significant changes in body weight, BMD, fat mass, and lean body mass occurred between the two routes of estrogen therapy. No significant changes in body weight were observed between both routes of estrogen therapy after 24 wk, nor did body weight change significantly with either treatment (Fig. 2). Mean BMD increased during oral (ΔBMD = 0.008±0.004 gm/cm², P = 0.06) and during transdermal estrogen therapy (ΔBMD = 0.022±0.010 gm/cm², P < 0.05), with the increases not being significantly different between the two routes.

Significantly different effects on fat mass and lean body mass were observed between the two routes of estrogen therapy. When the effects of both routes of estrogen administration were compared, oral therapy led to a significant increase in fat mass of 1.2±0.5 kg (P < 0.05, Fig. 2) equivalent to 4.9±1.6% (Table III) change in body fat. This increase in fat mass arose from a significant increase occurring during 24 wks after oral administration.
of oral therapy with no significant change occurring during the transdermal estrogen phase (Table III). Analysis of regional changes revealed that central fat mass increased by 3.1% (median) during oral estrogen therapy when compared with a 1.0% (median) decrease occurring during the transdermal phase, although the difference did not reach statistical significance ($P < 0.08$, Mann-Whitney test). The change in peripheral fat mass was not significant between treatments. Oral estrogen therapy resulted in a significant loss in lean body mass of $1.2 \pm 0.4$ kg ($P < 0.05$, equivalent to a $3.1 \pm 0.8\%$ change) compared with that observed during transdermal estrogen therapy. This difference was accounted for by a significant decrease in lean body mass of $0.8 \pm 0.3$ kg with oral therapy and a small but nonsignificant increase in lean body mass with transdermal estrogen therapy (Table III). Thus, when compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen therapy was accompanied by a significant decrease in lean body mass and a significant increase in whole body fat mass.

**Discussion**

This randomized crossover study shows that estrogen has metabolic effects that are dependent on the route of administration. We confirm previous observations that oral but not transdermal estrogen administration increases GH and decreases IGF-I levels (1, 2). When compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen therapy resulted in an acute but greater transient suppression of postprandial lipid oxidation and a reciprocal increase in carbohydrate oxidation. Estrogen therapy irrespective of the route of administration did not alter energy expenditure. Whereas oral and transdermal estrogen therapy both increased BMD, the route of administration had different effects on soft tissue body composition. When compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen therapy led to a significant decrease in lean body mass and a significant increase in fat mass with a trend towards central deposition. These changes in body composition occurred without any change in body weight. Although estrogen treatment has little impact on body weight in postmenopausal women (18, 19), a shift in body composition from denser lean to lighter fat tissue will be accompanied by an increase in body volume. This effect may explain the frequent self perception of increased adiposity in oral estrogen users (20, 21).

Although both routes of estrogen administration increased BMD, they had different effects on soft tissue body composition. The mechanism(s) responsible for the changes in body composition is not known but may arise from long term biological consequences of the suppression of lipid oxidation and IGF-I levels by oral but not transdermal estrogen administration. We speculate that the increase in body fat observed during oral estrogen therapy arises from chronic suppression of lipid oxidation. A similar mechanism has been proposed for the increased adiposity associated with moderate ethanol intake (22). Reduced lipid oxidation has also been reported to be a predictor of adiposity (23). We also propose that the loss of lean body mass observed during oral estrogen administration arises from chronic suppression of IGF-I levels in the light of strong evidence that circulating IGF-I has potent anabolic actions. IGF-I administration has been shown to promote nitrogen retention (24), stimulate body protein synthesis (25),

![Figure 2. Change (±SEM) in body weight (A), fat mass (B), and lean body mass (LBM, C) after 24 wk of oral and transdermal estrogen treatment. $P < 0.05$ oral versus transdermal, $*P < 0.01$ oral versus transdermal, $^\dagger P < 0.02$ versus beginning of oral estrogen treatment, $^\ddagger P < 0.005$ versus beginning of oral estrogen treatment.](image)

**Table III. Change ($\Delta$) in Body Weight, Lean Body Mass, Fat Mass, and Percent Lean Body Mass and Fat Mass After 24 wk of Oral and Transdermal Estrogen Therapy in the 18 Postmenopausal Women**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Oral</th>
<th>Transdermal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>$0.5 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ Lean body mass (kg)</td>
<td>$-0.8 \pm 0.3^{*\dagger}$</td>
<td>$0.4 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$ Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>$1.2 \pm 0.4^{*\ddagger}$</td>
<td>$0.1 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent change in lean body mass</td>
<td>$-2.0 \pm 0.8^{*\ddagger}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \pm 0.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent change in fat mass (%)</td>
<td>$5.2 \pm 1.6^{*\ddagger}$</td>
<td>$0.3 \pm 1.8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean±SE. $^*P < 0.05$ oral versus transdermal, $^\ddagger P < 0.01$ oral versus transdermal, $^\dagger P < 0.02$ versus beginning of oral estrogen treatment, $^\ddagger P < 0.005$ versus beginning of oral estrogen treatment.
reduce protein oxidation (26), and to prevent protein catabolism in humans (25). Moreover, lean body mass is decreased in GH deficiency where IGF-I is low (7), and increased in acromegaly where IGF-I is elevated (6). No functional assessment was performed in our study and future work will need to assess whether the changes in lean body mass affects physical fitness and strength.

We determined whether the transient suppression of lipid oxidation, occurring during the postprandial period, could account for the increase in fat mass observed over 6 mon of treatment. On average with oral estrogen treatment, fat oxidation is reduced by about 900 mg after a mixed meal, and if extrapolated to three meals a day, equates to a reduction of fat oxidation of 2.7 g/day. Over a 6 mon period, this represents a cumulative gain of ∼500 g of fat. Given that the mean gain in fat mass was 1200 g over the 6 mon of oral estrogen treatment, it may be assumed that the net difference (700 g) represents the cumulative difference occurring at other times during the day (21 h each day), but which may be below the sensitivity of detection. This equates to an average difference in fat oxidation of ∼3.05 mg per min between the two treatments. Indeed, examination of our data show basal (preprandial) lipid oxidation to be slightly lower during oral therapy (Fig. 1 B) with the difference being respectively 2.5 mg per min at 2 mon and 2.9 mg per min at 6 mon. Although the difference did not reach statistical significance, it is a close approximation of theoretical predictions and strongly supports the hypothesis that the suppression of lipid oxidation, though mild, can account for the gain in fat mass over 6 mon of treatment.

The present study extends the observations made in a young girl treated with high doses of ethinyl estradiol (27). In this case report, we observed that oral ethinyl estradiol treatment with doses of 60, 100, and 200 μg/d produced a reversible, dose-dependent suppression of lipid oxidation associated with a reversible increase in fat mass. Lipid oxidation was reduced throughout the basal and postprandial states during ethinyl estradiol treatment, in contrast to significant suppression occurring only during the postprandial phase in the present study. As the potency of ethinyl estradiol in the doses used is considerably higher than that of conjugated estrogen, the observations suggest that the inhibitory effect of oral estrogen on lipid oxidation is dose dependent.

We have previously observed consistently that oral but not transdermal estrogen increases GH secretion and postulated that this occurs as a result of reduced feedback inhibition by IGF-I (1, 2, 12). Interestingly, effects of increased GH secretion on lipid oxidation were not observed. On the contrary, the opposite occurred, suggesting that concomitant increases in GH-binding protein that is increased by oral but not transdermal estrogen administration, reduced GH action (1). GH-binding protein has been shown in vitro to attenuate GH action (9). Because GH stimulates lipolysis and lipid oxidation (5, 28), the suppression in lipid oxidation may have occurred secondarily to an oral estrogen–induced increase in circulating GH-binding protein, which was sufficient to overcome the parallel increase in GH levels. The associated fall in IGF-I with oral estrogen provides further evidence that GH action was attenuated at the hepatic level.

An alternate mechanism may involve a direct inhibitory effect of estrogen on the liver, a major site of fatty acid metabolism. This proposal is supported by in vitro studies showing that pharmacological concentrations of estrogen reduce keto-genesis (a product of fatty acid oxidation) and increase fatty acid incorporation into triglycerides (29, 30). These in vitro findings are in accordance with clinical observations that oral but not transdermal estrogen therapy stimulates hepatic triglyceride synthesis and increases triglyceride levels (31). Because intrahepatic fatty acid metabolism is partitioned between oxidative and nonoxidative (fatty acid incorporation into triglycerides) pathways, these findings suggest that estrogen may regulate the metabolic fate of intrahepatic free fatty acids by directing fatty acids away from oxidative into lipogenic pathways. This proposal is supported by the finding of a strong inverse relationship between lipid oxidation and triglyceride levels during treatment with various estrogen doses (27) and the present observations of increased triglyceride levels during oral, but not transdermal estrogen therapy, when lipid oxidation was inhibited.

Although dissimilar estrogen formulations were used for the oral and transdermal routes, it is unlikely that the contrasting biological effects arose from chemical differences. We have previously shown that different estrogen formulations cause an equal dissociation of the GH/IGF-I axis when administered via the oral route (2). The extent of IGF-I suppression was related to the degree of gonadotrophin suppression and the extent of elevation of estrogen-sensitive hepatic proteins such as GH-binding protein, sex hormone–binding globulin, and angiotsinogen. This observation suggests that IGF-I suppression is a consequence of a first pass hepatic effect arising from exposure to supra physiological concentrations of estrogens (1, 2). Along with our earlier observations that a different oral estrogen formulation suppressed lipid oxidation (27) and IGF-I levels (1), the reduction in lipid oxidation detected in the present study is also likely to be a first pass hepatic effect of orally administered estrogen.

The body compositional consequences of oral estrogen therapy are similar to those of aging, which is accompanied by a progressive increase in fat mass and a decline in lean body mass (32). An increase in fat mass of 5% and a fall in lean body mass of 2% occurred during oral estrogen treatment. These changes appear to be of biological significance when compared with the spontaneous increase in fat mass of 5–10% per decade (1.5–2.5 kg) and decrease in lean body mass of 2.5% (1–1.5 kg) per decade observed in normal adult women with aging (32, 33, 34, 35). When compared with the transdermal route, oral estrogen administration of 1.25 mg of conjugated estrogen for only 6 mon induced changes in body composition equivalent to those occurring spontaneously over a 5–10-yr period. The oral dose of estrogen used for menopausal replacement therapy is generally lower than that used in the present study with a recent study reporting that 80% of estrogen users are taking a lower dose (36). Although it is likely that smaller changes will occur with lower oral doses, the changes in fat and lean body mass induced by oral estrogen raise the concern that the traditional mode of estrogen administration may compound the undesirable changes in body composition that are already occurring with aging. Evidence that the change in fat mass induced by oral estrogen use may be metabolically significant is suggested by our recent observation from a 3 mon crossover study of a trend towards reduced glucose tolerance and insulin action during oral treatment compared with transdermal treatment (12).

The increase in fat mass induced by oral estrogen treatment in the present study stands in contrast to reports that women on hormone replacement therapy have lower body
mass indices than nonusers (37, 38, 39, 40). One possible explanation may be the selection bias inherent in nonprospective, nonrandomized design of these studies (41), in view of evidence that estrogen users are quite different from nonusers with regards to health promotion, disease prevention measures, and exercise regimens than nonusers (42, 43, 44). Prospective studies are required to determine the extent these changes in body composition impact on fitness and health in the doses used for postmenopausal therapy.

In summary, the present study shows that the route of estrogen administration has distinct and divergent effects on substrate oxidation and body composition in postmenopausal women. This phenomenon occurs as a consequence of a first pass effect of estrogen on the metabolic and endocrine functions of the liver. Undesirable changes in body composition can be avoided in postmenopausal estrogen users by a nonoral route of administration. The findings of route-dependent changes in body composition add a new dimension to health considerations concerning estrogen therapy in postmenopausal women and estrogen use in general.
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