Nutrition 61 (2019) 151-156

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nutrition

journal homepage: www.nutritionjrnl.com

Nutrients, immune system, and exercise: Where will it take us?

Helena A.P. Batatinha^a, Luana A. Biondo^a, Fabio S. Lira^b, Linda M. Castell^c, José C. Rosa-Neto Ph.D.^{a,*}

^a Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

^b Exercise and Immunometabolism Research Group, Postgraduation Program in Movement Sciences, Department of Physical Education, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Presidente Prudente, Brazil

^c Green Templeton College, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article History: Received 9 July 2018 Accepted 29 September 2018

Keywords: Immune system Metabolism Exercise Glucose Glutamine

ABSTRACT

The immune system plays a key role in controlling infections, repairing injuries, and restoring homeostasis. Immune cells are bioenergetically expensive during activation, which requires a tightly regulated control of the metabolic pathways, which is mostly regulated by two cellular energy sensors: Adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase and mammalian target of rapamycin. The activation and inhibition of this pathways can change cell subtype differentiation. Exercise intensity and duration and nutrient availability (especially glucose and glutamine) tightly regulate immune cell differentiation and function through Adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase and mammalian target of rapamycin signaling. Herein, we discuss the innate and adaptive immune-cell metabolism and how they can be affected by exercise and nutrients.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The immune system protects the body against pathogens. It plays a fundamental role in maintaining tissue homeostasis and preventing inflammatory and metabolic diseases [1]. Immune cells are bioenergetically expensive during activation, which requires a tightly regulated control of the metabolic pathways. The metabolic pathway is essential to the fate of differentiation that the immune cell will follow. Thus, these cells are easily affected by nutritional state, exercise volume, and hormonal activities [2].

Innate immune cells are the first line of defense against antigens. They are phagocytes that consume pathogenic bacteria and present antigen fragments to other immune cells inducing an adequate immune response. Innate immune cells are also responsible for maintenance of tissue homeostasis [3]. Mononuclear cells (i.e., monocytes and macrophages), granulocytes (i.e., neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils), mast cells, and natural killer cells comprise this system. Mononuclear cells are the main innate cell population, modulated by nutritional state and physical activity level.

Macrophages are potent phagocytes that work on pathogen elimination, tissue repair, and antigen presentation. These cells are very heterogeneous in gene expression and can be modulated depending on the stimulus. The two best characterized phenotypes are classic (M1) and alternative (M2) activation [4]. M1 (i.e., proinflammatory macrophages) is activated with lipolysaccharide and interferon- γ (IFN- γ) stimulation and produces proinflammatory cytokines that recruite other immune cells to an inflammation resolution. This phenotype is known to be more glycolytic, and thus presents a high rate of glucose and glutamine uptake and lactate production when activated [5]. However, with prolonged IFN- γ stimulation, M1 macrophages also increase mitochondrial gene expression [6]. Mitochondrial respiration enhances reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, which is necessary for an enhanced microbicidal activity of macrophages [7].

M2 (i.e., anti-inflammatory macrophages) polarize under type 2 cytokine stimulation (interleukin [IL]-4 and -13). M2 macrophages prefer fatty acid oxidation to energy metabolism as opposed to a classic activation and thus shows higher rates of mito-chondrial mass and respiration. Oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) inhibition dramatically reduces the M2 phenotype and alternative activation markers [8].

Adaptive immune cells respond in a highly specific manner against antigen presentation. Upon stimulation, these cells produce large amounts of cytokines and chemokines and improve the immune response by proliferating. This proliferation requires protein synthesis to support DNA replication, membrane formation, and organelles biogenesis.

Naïve T cells are metabolically active and require adenosine triphosphate (ATP) synthesis for survival and migration. They prime oxidase glucose and fatty acid via mitochondrial B-oxidation and OXPHOS [9] to reach the required levels of ATP. Once activated,



Review article





^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 11 3091 0883; fax: +55 11 30910883. *E-mail address*: josecesar23@hotmail.com (J.C. Rosa-Neto).

cluster of differentiation (CD) 4+ T-helper cells switch their metabolic pathways to glycolysis and glutaminolysis to support rapid cell proliferation and increased cytokine production. In a glutamine-deprived medium, naïve CD4+ T cells, when stimulated, fail to differentiate to T helper 1 (Th1) and increased T regulatory (Treg) expression [9].

CD8+ cytotoxic T cells also assume a glycolytic metabolism after stimulation to sustain the effector functions. Inhibiting glycolysis [10] and activity of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) protein complex 1 (C1) [11] impaired effector cells formation and induced a memory cell phenotype. mTORC1 is responsive to amino acids, growth factors, and insulin, and regulates cellular anabolism (discussed below). mTORC1 is necessary for the initial differentiation of effector cells and the effector response activated by memory T cells. However, the hyperactivation of mTORC1 prejudices cells that transition into a memory state [12]. A memory CD8+ T cell induced-phenotype (by mTORC1 and glycolysis inhibition) enhances the antitumor function [10,12].

B-lymphocytes have many similarities with T cells (e.g., oxidative metabolism in quiescent state and glycolysis and mTOR activation when stimulated). Upon activation, these cells increase the consumption and production of the by-products alanine and glutamate [13], which is followed by an increase in glycolytic and amino acid that metabolizes enzymes up to 3 d after stimulation [14].

These results suggest that both T and B cells present a metabolic flexibility to adapt into different states of activation. Glucose is the main substrate required during cell stimulation; however, emerging ideas indicate that amino acids also play an important role in cell function.

Immunonutrition

Glucose

Glucose is the first substrate that cells metabolize to generate ATP rapidly. Moreover, glycolysis provides fast energy and produces nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)+, which is converted to NAD-H and used by many enzymes and cofactors that support biosynthetic growth pathways [15]. To maintain the glycolysis flux, immune cells increase the expression of glucose transporter 1 to increase glucose uptake and reduce pyruvate to lactate despite oxygen (i.e., Warburg effect) [16] and maintain NAD+ levels. Similarly, a large amount of glutamine is required to support the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle.

T cells that are activated in a low-glucose medium reduce cytosolic Ca²⁺ signaling, which leads to a defective activation [17]. Macrophages also require glycolysis for efficient phagocytosis and cytokine production. Furthermore, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH; glycolytic enzyme) is sensitive to glycolysis in T cell and can act by binding to RNA and inhibiting the transcription of some cytokines such as IL-2 and IFN- γ . High rates of glycolysis impair GAPDH binding to IL-2 and IFN- γ messenger RNA, which increases the transduction of these cytokines. On the other hand, low levels of glucose allow GAPDH to inhibit these proinflammatory cytokines [18] and decrease T effector function.

Glutamine

Glutamine is the most abundant amino acid in the body, and it is essential for protein synthesis in all cell types. However, glutamine has a fundamental role in the metabolism of immune cells. During activation, immune cells quickly switch from oxidative to glycolytic metabolism and redirect glucose to glycolysis. At this point, glutamine is converted to α -ketoglutarate in a process called glutaminolysis and enters directly into the TCA cycle to maintain this pathway. Furthermore, glutamine is a nitrogen donor and is essential for purine and pyrimidine nucleotide synthesis. Glutamine also supplies glutathione, which facilitates the transport of amino acids [19].

A great deal of research has been undertaken to investigate the role of glutamine in immune cells. Culturing T lymphocytes in a glutamine-free medium completely abolished cell proliferation, activation, and IL-2 production [20], which replaced the medium with glutamate (glutamine-driven metabolite) or other amino acids, and did not restore T-cell functions [20]. These results demonstrated that T-cell proliferation is dependent on the presence of glutamine. Furthermore, activating T cells in a low glutamine-concentration medium induced FOXP3^{hi} CD4 T (Treg marker) expression [21]. These FOXP3^{hi} cells increased endogenous glutamine production to sustain cell functions, and blocking glutamine synthetase (GS; enzyme responsible for glutamine synthesis) abolished Treg cell resilience and proliferation [21].

Macrophages also require sufficient amounts of glutamine for proper activation. The M1 phenotype upregulates glucose and glutamine uptake to supply glycolysis and proinflammatory cytokine production, but M2 macrophages maintain an intact TCA cycle, which favors fatty-acid oxidation. However, α -ketoglutarate from glutaminolysis is essential to support an anti-inflammatory macrophage phenotype. Glutamine deprivation has been shown to impair M2 markers' gene expression in mice macrophages. The phenotype was restored with the addition of a cell-permeable analog of α -ketoglutarate [22]. Furthermore, glutaminolysis is also required during M1 activation to precipitate lipolysaccharide-induced endotoxin tolerance.

However, M2-like macrophages increased the expression of GS compared with M1-induced phenotype, and when GS was inhibited in M2 macrophages, the cells started to express M1 markers and assumed M1 metabolism [23]. In addition, the authors observed that monocytes cultured in a low-glutamine medium increased GS activity in a feedback mechanism and consequently enhanced M2 markers. These data suggest that glutamine availability can control macrophage-induced phenotypes, and keeping the balance between M1 and M2 activation is essential to maintain a proper resolution of infections.

Amino acids

Branched chain amino acids (BCAAs) are composed of leucine, isoleucine, and valine that are classified as essentials amino acids. Essential amino acids are not synthesized by cells, but must be supplied in the diet. However, BCAAs are necessary to regulate muscle synthesis protein via mTOR and inflammatory responses [24].

Leucine transport inside the cell is dependent on glutamine efflux through the complex Slc7a5 (LAT1) and Slc3a2. Whereas LAT1 transports leucine across the membrane intracellularly in lymphocytes, Slc3a2 is responsible for the extracellular exit of glutamine [25]. Inside the cell, leucine is required for mTORC1 activation and T-lymphocyte proliferation [26]. A leucine antagonist promotes similar effects to mTORC1 inhibition, such as reducing cytokine production and cell cycle arrest [26].

In macrophages, leucine can improve mitochondrial respiration and ATP production [27]. Leucine modulates the energetic status of the cell, which is used for protein synthesis or degradation, and the amino group can be transferred to α -ketoglutarate, which leads to energy production [28]. Papathanasius et al [29] blocked branched chain-amino transferases (enzymes responsible for catabolism of BCAA) in human macrophages, which resulted in low oxygen consumption and glycolysis.

AMPK and mTOR pathways regulating immune fate

Adenosine monophosphate—activated protein kinase (AMPK) and mTOR are two important metabolic regulators that work in opposing ways to orchestrate cellular response. The balance between these enzymes is a key controller of cellular homeostasis (Fig. 1).

AMPK is a complex kinase that it is activated under catabolic conditions when the AMP:ATP ratio is increased. At this point, AMPK increases the catabolic processes that generate ATP (i.e. OXPHOS) and decreases the anabolic processes that consume ATP (i.e., amino acid synthesis). Activated immune cells require high amounts of substrates to keep ATP at an appropriate level for proliferation and cytokine production. Glucose and glutamine deprivation have been observed to result in AMPK activation in stimulated T cells [30].

Recent studies have demonstrated that AMPK is a key metabolic regulator of T cells, allowing for metabolic plasticity to adapt to an energy stress that is found in an inflammatory microenvironment [31]. In CD8 T cells, AMPK knockout causes several defects in the generation of memory [30]. Memory CD8+ T cells play a key role in mounting a quick and efficient response to infections and as a tumor suppressor [32]. Furthermore, T lymphocytes from AMPKa1-KO mice presented higher sensitivity to metabolic stress, but exhibited normal cytokine production when stimulated [33]. These results clarified the notion that AMPK is essential for ATP homeostasis, but not required for cell-effector function, and can occur because activated AMPK switches off almost all anabolic pathways, including mTOR, which is indispensable to cell activation and proliferation. mTORC1 is primordial to immune-cell activation and proliferation. In T cells, mTOR signaling is essential for effector cell differentiation (Th1 and Th17) [34]. Suppressed mTORC1 activation in a mouse model led to low macrophage numbers in bone marrow, impaired monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation, and inhibited the macrophage phagocytosis ability [35]. However, constant activation of mTOR can be detrimental because of increased reactive oxygen species and further induction of cell senescence. Furthermore, studies have shown that the pharmacologic inhibition of mTOR (by rapamycin treatment) increased IL-12 production by mouse dendritic cells and human T cells [36,37]. IL-12 is a key immunomodulatory cytokine that induces Th1 differentiation and IFN- γ production. mTOR is activated mainly by growth factors and insulin signaling; however, mTOR activity also depends on the availability of certain amino acids (e.g., leucine). In the absence of amino acids, mTORC1 signaling is decreased even in the presence

of growth factors [38]. Amino acids act through sensors to promote mTOR activation, especially through leucine and arginine cytosolic sensors. In lymphocytes, mTORC1 activity is sensitive to leucine concentration. T cells that lack leucine transaminase present higher intracellular leucine concentrations, followed by increased mTORC1 activation and higher glycolysis rates [39]. Furthermore, a CD8+ T-cell-cultivated medium without an amino-acid medium gave rise to impaired mTOR phosphorylation, and the addition of leucine (0.4 mM) completely restored mTOR activity [40].

Therefore, an appropriate amino acid concentration appears necessary for efficient mTOR function, especially at the initial stages of immune-cell activation. However, keeping mTOR activity for a prolonged period of time can increase oxidative stress and drive cells to an immonoscenecent phenotype, resulting in impaired antigen recognition, phagocytosis, and cytokine production. Moreover, mTOR inhibits AMPK phosphorylation and, consequently, decreases regulatory and memory-cell formation (Fig. 2).

Exercise and the immune system

Exercise as an immunomodulatory agent

Exercise has immunomodulatory actions. Acute exercise increases the total numbers of leucocytes in the blood, and neutrophils are mainly responsible for this situation. From zero to 3 h after a single bout of aerobic exercise, the total leucocyte numbers enhanced two- to threefold and returned to baseline levels within 24 h after exercise sensation [41].

Not only are the total circulating numbers increased during recovery after prolonged exercise, but cell function is also decreased. CD4+ and 8+ T cells fail to migrate after a 2 h treadmill run [42]. T-cell proliferation is also reported to decrease during and after exercise, as does the lymphocyte response to an antigen challenge. Furthermore, monocyte phagocytosis is impaired after exhaustive prolonged exercise [43], and 2 h of cycling at 80% VO2_{max} decreased the neutrophil oxidative burst [44]. Moderate exercise volume, however, has an immunostimulatory effect. Neutrophil and monocyte phagocytosis was enhanced immediately after a submaximal prolonged exercise [45].

These results indicate that, in relation to exercise, the immune response depends on exercise intensity and duration, and therefore it is not surprising that endurance athletes are more vulnerable to illness up to 72 h after completing a race. This is known as the Open window hypothesis, proposed by Pedersen and Brunnsgaard, and



Fig. 1. Immune cells can easily change metabolic pathways depending on substrate viability and stimulations.



Fig. 2. During initial cellular activation, mammalian target of rapamycin signaling is required to provide cell proliferation, differentiation, and cell survival, for which amino acids are essential. However, super activation of mammalian target of rapamycin during prolonged periods can lead to a deep adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase inhibition, which impairs memory-cell formation and induces early cell senescence.

coincides with the period when numbers and function of immune cells are impaired [46]. Furthermore, repeated single bouts of strenuous exercise without proper recovery can prolong this open window, and culminate in chronic immunodepression [46].

Nevertheless, exercise intensity and duration is not only important for the immune response, but also for nutritional status. As discussed earlier, immune cells are tightly regulated by substrate availability, and exercise-induced decreases in glucose and amino acid concentrations can contribute to immune system impairment.

Exercise, nutrient availability, and immune function

During exercise, there is a huge glucose utilization to maintain the heart rate and muscle contraction, which leads to a decrease of this substrate in the bloodstream. Glutamine concentration is also affected. Glutamine is mainly synthetized, stored, and released by the skeletal muscle, and repeated muscle contraction can increase the TCA cycle intermittent flux, which leads to glutamine synthesis and release. Therefore, moderate intensity training has been associated with increased glutamine availability [47].

However, high intensity and prolonged exercise play opposing roles. An acute bout of high intensity interval exercise decreases serum glutamine concentration compared with basal levels [48], and prolonged, exhaustive exercise has a profound negative effect on glutamine concentration compared with exercise of a shorter duration [49]. Furthermore, glutamine concentration in the muscle of rats was markedly reduced 24 h after exhaustive exercise [50]. These results were followed by a decrease in GS, which suggests an impairment in muscle glutamine synthesis after exhaustive exercise. Blomstrand and Essen-Gustavsson [51] provided further evidence by showing that glutamine levels were reduced in both types I and II muscle fibers in humans, 2 h after submaximal resistance exercise. In addition, overtrained athletes have shown a marked decrease in glutamine levels, even at rest, compared with adequately trained athletes. The plasma concentration of glutamine appears to be a marker for impaired immune cell function [52].

A decline in substrate availability has a direct impact on the function of immune cells. Performing a single bout of exercise in low-glycogen conditions or after a few days on a low carbohydrate diet decreased T cell, natural killer cell, and neutrophil function compared with exercise performed during a diet of normal cholesterol [53]. Both the plasma concentration of glutamine and immune-cell function decrease after prolonged, exhaustive exercise. However, several studies have found no relation between glutamine and aspects of exercise-induced immunodepression [54]. Recently, sufficient glutamine availability has been suggested to combat postexercise decreases in immune function after endurance events [54,55].

As discussed earlier, a fall in the concentration of nutrients has been suggested as one of the mechanisms for the open-window condition, which increases the risk of upper respiratory tract infection (URTI). In this context, supplementing individuals with carbohydrate or amino acids has emerged as a strategy to avoid exercise-induced immunodepression.

In the early 1990s, oral L-glutamine ingestion attenuated the exercise-induced decrease in plasma glutamine concentration [56]. Researchers speculated that glutamine supplementation could restore immune function and decrease the incidence of susceptibility to URTIS [56]. Many studies have shown that, in individuals who take glutamine versus placebo, glutamine supplementation was able to maintain the plasma glutamine concentration after an exhaustive exercise intervention, but there was no link with improving immune cell function or trafficking [57–59].

However, recent studies on rats have found that glutamine supplementation reduces oxidative stress [60] and muscle damage and inflammation [61] after prolonged exercise. Furthermore, despite plasma concentration increase not being directly related to improvements in immune function, athletes who supplemented with glutamine reported a lower incidence of URTIs than those who received placebo [56]. Interestingly, 10 wk of BCAA supplementation (precursor for glutamine) had a positive effect on neutrophils in trained cyclists [62]. Glucose supplementation during and after prolonged exercise seasons have positive effects on immune cell function. The intake of 30 or 60 g/h of carbohydrate during 2.5 h of cycling restored the CD4+ and 8+ T-lymphocytes production of IFN- γ [63]. Moreover, the ingestion of carbohydrates before, during, and after exhaustive exercising, performed during 2 consecutive days, enhanced lymphocytes proliferation in response to an antigen-stimulation and increased T-cell proliferation on the second day [64].

All these findings suggest that a suitable dietary intake of amino acids and carbohydrates is required to keep immune functions in response to exhaustive exercise. Carbohydrates appear to have a positive acute answer because its intake before, during, and after exercising can avoid immune depression. Glutamine has a direct impact on the improvement of muscle regeneration, immune function, and the intestinal barrier.

Conclusions

Prolonged and strenuous aerobic exercise induces a marked decrease in plasma concentration of glucose and amino acids, which can lead to immunodepression. In this case, the maintenance of nutrient availability during and after vigorous exercise is essential for proper immune system control, which is coordinated by nutrient sensors (i.e., AMPK and mTOR) and metabolic pathways (i.e., glycolytic or oxidative phosphorylation) in immune cells.

References

- Goldszmid RS, Dzutsev A, Trinchieri G. Host immune response to infection and cancer: Unexpected commonalities. Cell Host Microbe 2014;15:295–305.
- [2] Al-Khami AA, Rodriguez PC, Ochoa AC. Energy metabolic pathways control the fate and function of myeloid immune cells. J Leukoc Biol 2017;102:369–80.
- [3] Yatim KM, Lakkis FG. A brief journey through the immune system. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2015;10:1274–81.
- [4] Murray PJ, Wynn TA. Protective and pathogenic functions of macrophage subsets. Nat Rev Immunol 2011;11:723–37.
- [5] Newsholme P, Curi R, Gordon S, Newsholme EA. Metabolism of glucose, glutamine, long-chain fatty acids and ketone bodies by murine macrophages. Biochem J 1986;239:121–5.
- [6] Sonoda J, Laganiere J, Mehl IR, Barish GD, Chong LW, Li X, et al. Nuclear receptor ERR alpha and coactivator PGC-1 beta are effectors of IFN-gamma-induced host defense. Genes Dev 2007;21:1909–20.
- [7] West AP, Brodsky IE, Rahner C, Woo DK, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, et al. TLR signalling augments macrophage bactericidal activity through mitochondrial ROS. Nature 2011;472:476–80.
- [8] Vats D, Mukundan L, Odegaard JI, Zhang L, Smith KL, Morel CR, et al. Oxidative metabolism and PGC-1beta attenuate macrophage-mediated inflammation. Cell Metab 2006;4:13–24.
- [9] Maclver NJ, Michalek RD, Rathmell JC. Metabolic regulation of T lymphocytes. Annu Rev Immunol 2013;31:259–83.
- [10] Sukumar M, Liu J, Ji Y, Subramanian M, Crompton JG, Yu Z, et al. Inhibiting glycolytic metabolism enhances CD8+ T cell memory and antitumor function. J Clin Invest 2013;123:4479–88.
- [11] Pollizzi KN, Patel CH, Sun IH, Oh MH, Waickman AT, Wen J, et al. mTORC1 and mTORC2 selectively regulate CD8(+) T cell differentiation. J Clin Invest 2015;125:2090–108.
- [12] Berezhnoy A, Castro I, Levay A, Malek TR, Gilboa E. Aptamer-targeted inhibition of mTOR in T cells enhances antitumor immunity. J Clin Invest 2014;124:188–97.
- [13] Crawford J, Cohen HJ. The essential role of L-glutamine in lymphocyte differentiation in vitro. J Cell Physiol 1985;124:275–82.
- [14] van Anken E, Romijn EP, Maggioni C, Mezghrani A, Sitia R, Braakman I, et al. Sequential waves of functionally related proteins are expressed when B cells prepare for antibody secretion. Immunity 2003;18:243–53.
- [15] O'Neill LA, Kishton RJ, Rathmell J. A guide to immunometabolism for immunologists. Nat Rev Immunol 2016;16:553–65.
- [16] Palsson-McDermott EM, O'Neill LA. The Warburg effect then and now: From cancer to inflammatory diseases. Bioessays 2013;35:965–73.
- [17] Ho PC, Bihuniak JD, Macintyre AN, Staron M, Liu X, Amezquita R, et al. Phosphoenolpyruvate is a metabolic checkpoint of anti-tumor T cell responses. Cell 2015;162:1217–28.

- [18] Chang CH, Curtis JD, Maggi Jr. LB, Faubert B, Villarino AV, O'Sullivan D, et al. Posttranscriptional control of T cell effector function by aerobic glycolysis. Cell 2013;153:1239–51.
- [19] Johnson MO, Siska PJ, Contreras DC, Rathmell JC. Nutrients and the microenvironment to feed a T cell army. Semin Immunol 2016;28:505–13.
- [20] Carr EL, Kelman A, Wu GS, Gopaul R, Senkevitch E, Aghvanyan A, et al. Glutamine uptake and metabolism are coordinately regulated by ERK/MAPK during T lymphocyte activation. J Immunol 2010;185:1037–44.
- [21] Metzler B, Gfeller P, Guinet E. Restricting glutamine or glutamine-dependent purine and pyrimidine syntheses promotes human T cells with high FOXP3 expression and regulatory properties. J Immunol 2016;196:3618–30.
- [22] Liu PS, Wang H, Li X, Chao T, Teav T, Christen S, et al. alpha-ketoglutarate orchestrates macrophage activation through metabolic and epigenetic reprogramming. Nat Immunol 2017;18:985–94.
- [23] Palmieri EM, Menga A, Martin-Perez R, Quinto A, Riera-Domingo C, De Tullio G, et al. Pharmacologic or genetic targeting of glutamine synthetase skews macrophages toward an M1-like phenotype and inhibits tumor metastasis. Cell Rep 2017;20:1654-66.
- [24] Kato H, Miura K, Nakano S, Suzuki K, Bannai M, Inoue Y. Leucine-enriched essential amino acids attenuate inflammation in rat muscle and enhance muscle repair after eccentric contraction. Amino Acids 2016;48:2145–55.
- [25] Maciolek JA, Pasternak JA, Wilson HL. Metabolism of activated T lymphocytes. Curr Opin Immunol 2014;27:60–74.
- [26] Hidayat S, Yoshino K, Tokunaga C, Hara K, Matsuo M, Yonezawa K. Inhibition of amino acid-mTOR signaling by a leucine derivative induces G1 arrest in Jurkat cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003;301:417–23.
- [27] Grajeda-Iglesias C, Rom O, Hamoud S, Volkova N, Hayek T, Abu-Saleh N, et al. Leucine supplementation attenuates macrophage foam-cell formation: Studies in humans, mice, and cultured macrophages. Biofactors 2018;44:245–62.
- [28] Ananieva EA, Powell JD, Hutson SM. Leucine metabolism in T cell activation: mTOR signaling and beyond. Adv Nutr 2016;7:798S-805S.
- [29] Papathanassiu AE, Ko JH, Imprialou M, Bagnati M, Srivastava PK, Vu HA, et al. BCAT1 controls metabolic reprogramming in activated human macrophages and is associated with inflammatory diseases. Nat Commun 2017;8: 16040.
- [30] Rolf J, Zarrouk M, Finlay DK, Foretz M, Viollet B, Cantrell DA. AMPKalpha1: A glucose sensor that controls CD8 T-cell memory. Eur J Immunol 2013;43:889–96.
- [31] O'Neill LA, Hardie DG. Metabolism of inflammation limited by AMPK and pseudo-starvation. Nature 2013;493:346–55.
- [32] Samji T, Khanna KM. Understanding memory CD8(+) T cells. Immunol Lett 2017;185:32–9.
- [33] Mayer A, Denanglaire S, Viollet B, Leo O, Andris F. AMP-activated protein kinase regulates lymphocyte responses to metabolic stress but is largely dispensable for immune cell development and function. Eur J Immunol 2008;38:948–56.
- [34] Delgoffe GM, Kole TP, Zheng Y, Zarek PE, Matthews KL, Xiao B, et al. The mTOR kinase differentially regulates effector and regulatory T cell lineage commitment. Immunity 2009;30:832–44.
- [35] Wang X, Li M, Gao Y, Gao J, Yang W, Liang H, et al. Rheb1-mTORC1 maintains macrophage differentiation and phagocytosis in mice. Exp Cell Res 2016;344:219–28.
- [36] Weichhart T, Costantino G, Poglitsch M, Rosner M, Zeyda M, Stuhlmeier KM, et al. The TSC-mTOR signaling pathway regulates the innate inflammatory response. Immunity 2008;29:565–77.
- [37] Brouard S, Puig-Pey I, Lozano JJ, Pallier A, Braud C, Giral M, et al. Comparative transcriptional and phenotypic peripheral blood analysis of kidney recipients under cyclosporin A or sirolimus monotherapy. Am J Transplant 2010;10: 2604–14.
- [38] Walls J, Sinclair L, Finlay D. Nutrient sensing, signal transduction and immune responses. Semin Immunol 2016;28:396–407.
- [39] Ananieva EA, Patel CH, Drake CH, Powell JD, Hutson SM. Cytosolic branched chain aminotransferase (BCATc) regulates mTORC1 signaling and glycolytic metabolism in CD4+ T cells. J Biol Chem 2014;289:18793–804.
- [40] Sinclair LV, Rolf J, Emslie E, Shi YB, Taylor PM, Cantrell DA. Control of aminoacid transport by antigen receptors coordinates the metabolic reprogramming essential for T cell differentiation. Nat Immunol 2013;14:500–8.
- [41] Nakaya M, Xiao Y, Zhou X, Chang JH, Chang M, Cheng X, et al. Inflammatory T cell responses rely on amino acid transporter ASCT2 facilitation of glutamine uptake and mTORC1 kinase activation. Immunity 2014;40:692–705.
- [42] Walsh NP, Gleeson M, Shephard RJ, Woods JA, Bishop NC, Fleshner M, et al. Position statement. Part one: Immune function and exercise. Exerc Immunol Rev 2011;17:6–63.
- [43] Bishop NC, Walker GJ, Gleeson M, Wallace FA, Hewitt CR. Human T lymphocyte migration towards the supernatants of human rhinovirus infected airway epithelial cells: Influence of exercise and carbohydrate intake. Exerc Immunol Rev 2009;15:127–44.
- [44] Ortega E. Neuroendocrine mediators in the modulation of phagocytosis by exercise: Physiological implications. Exerc Immunol Rev 2003;9:70–93.
- [45] Pyne DB, Baker MS, Smith JA, Telford RD, Weidemann MJ. Exercise and the neutrophil oxidative burst: Biological and experimental variability. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1996;74:564–71.
- [46] Pedersen BK, Bruunsgaard H. How physical exercise influences the establishment of infections. Sports Med 1995;19:393–400.

- [47] Agostini F, Biolo G. Effect of physical activity on glutamine metabolism. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2010;13:58–64.
- [48] Walsh NP, Blannin AK, Clark AM, Cook L, Robson PJ, Gleeson M. The effects of high-intensity intermittent exercise on the plasma concentrations of glutamine and organic acids. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1998;77:434–8.
- [49] Robson PJ, Blannin AK, Walsh NP, Castell LM, Gleeson M. Effects of exercise intensity, duration and recovery on in vitro neutrophil function in male athletes. Int J Sports Med 1999;20:128–35.
- [50] dos Santos RV, Caperuto EC, de Mello MT, Batista Jr. ML, Rosa LF. Effect of exercise on glutamine synthesis and transport in skeletal muscle from rats. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2009;36:770–5.
- [51] Blomstrand E, Essen-Gustavsson B. Changes in amino acid concentration in plasma and type I and type II fibres during resistance exercise and recovery in human subjects. Amino Acids 2009;37:629–36.
- [52] Castell LC. Glutamine supplementation in vitro and in vivo, in exercise and in immunodepression. Sports Med 2003;33(4):323–45.
- [53] Walsh NP, Gleeson M, Pyne DB, Nieman DC, Dhabhar FS, Shephard RJ, et al. Position statement. Part two: Maintaining immune health. Exerc Immunol Rev 2011;17:64–103.
- [54] Bermon S, Castell LM, Calder PC, Bishop NC, Blomstrand E, et al. Consensus statement: Immunonutrition and exercise. Exerc Immunol Rev 2017;23:8–50.
- [55] Gleeson M. Dosing and efficacy of glutamine supplementation in human exercise and sport training. J Nutr 2008;138:20455–95.
- [56] Castell LM, Poortmans JR, Leclercq R, Brasseur M, Duchateau J, Newsholme EA. Some aspects of the acute phase response after a marathon race, and the effects of glutamine supplementation. Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol 1997;75:47–53.

- [57] Rohde T, MacLean DA, Pedersen BK. Effect of glutamine supplementation on changes in the immune system induced by repeated exercise. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1998;30:856–62.
- [58] Krzywkowski K, Petersen EW, Ostrowski K, Kristensen JH, Boza J, Pedersen BK. Effect of glutamine supplementation on exercise-induced changes in lymphocyte function. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2001;281:C1259–65.
- [59] Hiscock N, Pedersen BK. Exercise-induced immunodepression-plasma glutamine is not the link. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2002;93:813–22.
- [60] Cruzat VF, Tirapegui J. Effects of oral supplementation with glutamine and alanyl-glutamine on glutamine, glutamate, and glutathione status in trained rats and subjected to long-duration exercise. Nutrition 2009;25:428–35.
- [61] Cruzat VF, Rogero MM, Tirapegui J. Effects of supplementation with free glutamine and the dipeptide alanyl-glutamine on parameters of muscle damage and inflammation in rats submitted to prolonged exercise. Cell Biochem Funct 2010;28:24–30.
- [62] Kephart WC, Wachs TD, Thompson RM, Brooks Mobley C, Fox CD, McDonald JR, et al. Ten weeks of branched-chain amino acid supplementation improves select performance and immunological variables in trained cyclists. Amino Acids 2016;48:779–89.
- [63] Lancaster GI, Khan Q, Drysdale PT, Wallace F, Jeukendrup AE, Drayson MT, et al. Effect of prolonged exercise and carbohydrate ingestion on type 1 and type 2 T lymphocyte distribution and intracellular cytokine production in humans. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2005;98:565–71.
- [64] Bishop NC, Walker GJ, Bowley LA, Evans KF, Molyneux K, Wallace FA, et al. Lymphocyte responses to influenza and tetanus toxoid in vitro following intensive exercise and carbohydrate ingestion on consecutive days. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2005;99:1327–35.