

Resistance training: the multifaceted side of exercise

Antonio Paoli

Department of Human Anatomy and Physiology, University of Padua, Padua, Italy

Submitted 24 October 2011; accepted in final form 28 October 2011

TO THE EDITOR: I read with great interest the article by Slentz et al. (13) titled “Effects of aerobic vs. resistance training on visceral and liver fat stores, liver enzymes, and insulin resistance by HOMA in overweight adults from STRRIDE AT/RT” published in the November 2011 issue of *AJP-Endocrinology and Metabolism*.

This article demonstrates clearly that aerobic training had a significantly greater effect than resistance training on liver fat, visceral fat, alanine aminotransferase, HOMA, and both total and subcutaneous abdominal fat. Undoubtedly, aerobic training is a useful training method that can improve body composition (4) and, as well demonstrated by Slentz et al. (13), has a beneficial effect on liver fat. I agree in general with the findings of Slentz et al. (13) but also with Sundell’s statement, “Resistance training has a favourable effect on metabolic syndrome since it decreases fat mass, including abdominal fat. It also enhances insulin sensitivity, improves glucose tolerance, and reduces blood pressure values” (14). This is only an apparent contradiction because there are some unresolved questions about exercise variables. The conflicting results regarding the effects on body composition and insulin sensitivity of resistance training and aerobic training (5, 6, 10, 12) can be explained by the extremely wide range of exercise variables; for example, aerobic training may be performed in many different ways to achieve the same results (3). Also, resistance training may be carried out via different methods that have been shown to have differing effects on muscle metabolism and signaling pathways related to insulin (9). Recently, our group has demonstrated the different effects of circuit training carried out at low or high intensity on some anthropometric and metabolic variables (8). In my opinion, there is something that may be best described as a “scotoma” in research on various training methodologies; if we don’t consider the extremely wide range of different exercise executions, we risk underestimating the importance and the effects of the different kinds of exercise. In the study by Slentz et al. (13) the classical three sets of eight to 12 repetitions scheme was used, but its effectiveness is at least questionable (1). A resistance training program is a composite of several important variables, including 1) muscle action used, 2) type of resistance used, 3) volume (total number of sets and repetitions), 4) exercises selected and workout structure (e.g., the number of muscle groups trained), 5) the sequence of exercise performance, 6) rest intervals between sets, 7) repetition velocity, and 8) training frequency (7, 9, 12). It is in the interests of the researcher to carefully consider the exercise study design with specific regard to these variables to avoid underestimating the effectiveness of certain kinds of exercise. The following sentence, “...in sedentary, overweight, and obese adults, aerobic training was consistently more effective than resistance training at improving visceral fat, total abdominal fat, liver fat, and the liver-derived enzyme alanine aminotransferase,”

should contain the adjunctive information “aerobic training performed at 75% $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, three times/wk, 117 min/wk” and “resistance training performed three times/wk, three sets for eight to 12 reps.” I hope that this invitation to greater precision in training description can be taken into account to better define the most effective kinds of exercise, especially for resistance training that may be considered the multifaceted side of exercise.

DISCLOSURES

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the author.

REFERENCES

1. Carpinelli RN. Berger in retrospect: effect of varied weight training programmes on strength. *Br J Sports Med* 36: 319–324, 2002.
2. Holten M, Zacho M, Gaster M, Juel C, Wojtaszewski J, Dela F. Strength training increases insulin-mediated glucose uptake, GLUT4 content, and insulin signaling in skeletal muscle in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 53: 294–305, 2004.
3. Jessen N, Goodyear LJ. Contraction signaling to glucose transport in skeletal muscle. *J Appl Physiol* 99: 330–337, 2005.
4. Johnson JL, Slentz CA, Houmard JA, Samsa GP, Duscha BD, Aiken LB, McCartney JS, Tanner CJ, Kraus WE. Exercise training amount and intensity effects on metabolic syndrome (from studies of a targeted risk reduction intervention through defined exercise). *Am J Cardiol* 100: 1759–1766, 2007.
5. Little JP, Gillen JB, Percival M, Safdar A, Tarnopolsky MA, Punthakee Z, Jung ME, Gibala MJ. Low-volume high-intensity interval training reduces hyperglycemia and increases muscle mitochondrial capacity in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Appl Physiol*. First published August 25, 2011; doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.00921.2011.
6. Marcus RL, Smith S, Morrell G, Addison O, Dibble LE, Wahoff-Stice D, Lastayo PC. Comparison of combined aerobic and high-force eccentric resistance exercise with aerobic exercise only for people with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Phys Ther* 88: 1345–1354, 2008.
7. Paoli A, Moro T, Zonin F, Filangeri D, Bianco A, Palma A, Marcolin G. Effects of high-intensity interval resistance training (HIRT) and pyramidal training (PYT) on some muscle and blood parameters (Abstract). *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 51, Supp 1–3: 15, 2011.
8. Paoli A, Pacelli F, Bargossi AM, Marcolin G, Guzzinati S, Neri M, Bianco A, Palma A. Effects of three distinct protocols of fitness training on body composition, strength and blood lactate. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness* 50: 43–51, 2010.
9. Paoli A. Different metabolic response to single-joint or multiple-joint resistance training of similar total volume (Abstract). *Acta Physiol* 203, Supp 688: 243, 2011.
10. Potteiger JA, Claytor RP, Hulver MW, Hughes MR, Carper MJ, Richmond S, Thyfault JP. Resistance exercise and aerobic exercise when paired with dietary energy restriction both reduce the clinical components of metabolic syndrome in previously physically inactive males. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. In press.
11. President’s Council on Physical Fitness and Sport. 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans [Online] <http://www.health.gov/paguidelines> [2008].
12. Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Boulé NG, Wells GA, Prud’homme D, Fortier M, Reid RD, Tulloch H, Coyle D, Phillips P, Jennings A, Jaffey J. Effects of aerobic training, resistance training, or both on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 147: 357–369, 2007.
13. Slentz CA, Bateman LA, Willis LH, Shields AT, Tanner CJ, Piner LW, Hawk VH, Muehlbauer MJ, Samsa GP, Nelson RC, Huffman KM, Bales CW, Houmard JA, Kraus WE. Effects of aerobic vs. resistance training on visceral and liver fat stores, liver enzymes, and insulin resistance by HOMA in overweight adults from STRRIDE AT/RT. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 301: E1033–E1039, 2011.
14. Sundell J. Resistance Training Is an Effective Tool against Metabolic and Frailty Syndromes. *Adv Prev Med* 2011: 984683, 2011.

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: A. Paoli, Dept. of Human Anatomy and Physiology, School of Exercise Sciences, Univ. of Padua, via Marzolo 3, Padua, Italy (e-mail: antonio.paoli@unipd.it).