Short-term high-intensity rehabilitation in radically treated lung cancer: a three-armed randomized controlled trial

Jian Huang^{1*}, Yutian Lai^{1*}, Xudong Zhou^{1*}, Shuangjiang Li¹, Jianhua Su², Mei Yang¹, Guowei Che¹

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China; ²Rehabilitation Department, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: J Huang, Y Lai; (II) Administrative support: G Che; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: J Su, M Yang; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: J Huang, Y Lai; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: X Zhou, S Li; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

*These authors contributed to the work equally and should be regarded as co-first authors.

Correspondence to: Guowei Che. Department of Thoracic Surgery, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 37 Guoxue Road, Wuhou Area, Chengdu 610041, China. Email: guowei_che@yahoo.com.

Background: The feasibility and practicality of preoperative rehabilitation (PR) programs remains quite controversial in the treatment of lung cancer (LC). This study explored whether a short-term high-intensity rehabilitation program could improve postoperative outcomes compared to those achieved with conventional inspiratory muscle training (IMT).

Methods: A three-armed randomized controlled trial comparing the two training modalities and routine care was conducted in surgical LC patients. Patient groups received one of three treatment regimens: (I) high-intensity pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) that combined IMT with conventional resistance training (CRT) (combined PR group); (II) conventional PR (single IMT group); or (III) routine preoperative preparation (control group). The primary endpoint was a change in the occurrence of post-operative pulmonary complications (PPCs) that occurred within 30 days after surgery, while secondary endpoints included changes in length of hospital stay, quality of life (QoL) scores, 6-min walk distance (6-MWD) and peak expiratory flow (PEF).

Results: A total of 90 enrolled patients were randomized into three groups with a computer-based 1:1:1 ratio. The intention-to-treat analysis of the study revealed that, compared with the Control Group, the Combined PR Group had a significant increase in ^a6-MWD (by 32.67 m, P=0.002), ^aPEF (by 14.3 L/min, P=0.001), ^aglobal scores (by 3.7, P=0.035); and a reduced ^aaverage total hospital stay (by 3.2 d, P=0.001) and Apostoperative stay (by 3.6 d, P=0.001). With regard to PPC rate, the Combined PR Group had a somewhat lower PPC severity (grade II–V) compared to the Control Group.

Conclusions: This hospital-based short-term program of PR combining high-intensity IMT with CRT was significantly superior to the conventional IMT program, indicating that this approach would be a feasible strategy for treating LC patients, especially those waiting operations with surgery-related risk factors.

Keywords: Short-term; high-intensity; preoperative rehabilitation (PR); lung cancer (LC)

Submitted Dec 09, 2016. Accepted for publication Apr 11, 2017. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.06.15 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2017.06.15

Introduction

Globally, lung cancer (LC) is the one of the most frequent malignant tumor, with the leading cause of cancerrelated deaths (1). For pre-malignant and early-to-mid stages of LC, surgery remains the optimal treatment, although multidisciplinary treatments are also prevalent (2,3). Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) are primary and promoting factors of poor outcomes (4). To improve clinical outcomes for LC patients, there has been a growing interest in the role of preventive and therapeutic management strategies during the past decades, and mounting evidence reveals that 2-4 weeks long preoperative PR treatments can promote physical-psychological improvements in exercise capacity, functional status, and quality of life (QoL) (5,6). However, due to diversity in local adaptation, whether previously reported treatment regimen can be adaptively applied in other countries with developing medical systems, such as China, remains unclear. As such, the feasibility and practicability of these procedures in developing nations need further researches.

The choice of preoperative rehabilitation (PR) program for patients undergoing LC lobectomy is governed by potential patient risk factors for PPCs, including advanced age, smoking status, obesity, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), poor lung function, and history of thoracic surgery. These risk factors are defined by national expert consensus and guidelines drafted by the Ministry of Health Clinical Pathway Audit Committee of the Thoracic Surgery Expert Panel [2012] and the definitions of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons [2012] (7). Hence, we hypothesis that a program combined IMT with CRT has better improvements in cardio-thoracic pulmonary function (6-MWD) and postoperative outcomes (PPC rate), compared with a single IMT program or routine preoperative preparations. To better explore a feasible PR pattern for Chinese LC patients with PPC risk factors, especially those waiting therapeutic surgeries, we designed this prospective randomized controlled trial using a selected study population treated with a short-term program.

Methods

Ethical review and approval

This prospective three-armed randomized controlled trial was adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Clinical Trials and Biomedical Ethics Committee of Sichuan University West University Hospital and Chinese

Huang et al. Evolutionary pulmonary rehabilitation program

Ethics Committee of Registering Clinical Trials (ChiCTR-IOR-16008109). All enrolled patients signed informed consent and patient information was obtained.

Study subjects and grouping

A total of 90 preoperative LC volunteers were recruited from the Department of Thoracic Surgery and Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, West China Hospital, between November 1, 2015 and May 31, 2016. Accompanying risk factors were assigned according to the Ministry of Health Clinical Pathway Audit Committee of the Thoracic Surgery Expert Panel [2012]. Participants were divided into three groups and randomization was performed by the coordinating investigator with a computer-based 1:1:1 ratio.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria were: (I) a definite diagnosis of primary non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) based on preoperative pathological examination and following NSCLC diagnosis and treatment guidelines; (II) presence of PPC risk factors, including age >70 years, body mass index (BMI) >30, COPD with a heavy smoking history (\geq 20 pack-year or a preoperative smoking control time \leq 2 weeks), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) to forced vital capacity (FVC) (FEV₁/FVC) ratio \leq 70%, or prior history of thoracic surgery; (III) no surgical contraindication and willingness to undergo video-assistant thoracic surgery (VATS) or traditional open thoracotomy (open); and (IV) patient agreement to receive preoperative interventions.

Exclusion criteria were: patients who had contraindications to the PR regimen or risk of adverse events including myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular accident within one year, unstable angina pectoris, aneurysm, recent history (<90 days) of hemoptysis, severe arrhythmia, musculoskeletal or mental disorders.

Preoperative PR program

The patients in the combined PR group were treated for one week with high-intensity preoperative PR using IMT and aerobic endurance exercise. This one-week PR program was primarily a physical-based intervention that focused on exercise endurance and resistance training or a combination of methods, such as inspiratory muscle training (IMT), and CRT, coupled with psychological-educational guidance to cope with pre-operative anxiety and depression, or

Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 9, No 7 July 2017

even perioperative activities. The entire PR process was performed during the in-hospital time, and all participants were assessed and recorded by a statistician who was blind to the study design. While the patients in the single IMT group received conventional single-mode IMT, and the control group patients underwent routine preoperative preparations, including preoperative education for inhospital, preoperative preparation (relevant examinations arrangements e.g.,) and essential encouragement or psychological caring.

The preoperative PR procedure was:

On the first day of the PR program, 6-MWT, pulmonary function test (PFT) and health-related QoL (HRQoL) test were evaluated and recorded for all participants. The 6-MWT and PFTs were performed to assess the patients' initial cardiopulmonary function based on the American Thoracic Society Pulmonary Function Standards Committee. During the 6-MWT, Borg dyspnea scores, index of fatigue, 6-MWD, PEF, and energy consumption (Kcal) values were calculated and recorded. The HRQoL test was evaluated by chart review and scored with EORTC QLQ-C30&LC13_CN (version 3), which has a selfevaluation score reflecting the related status, with higher scores associated with good status.

The daily exercises described below included IMT and CRT for the Combined PR group, and IMT for the IMT Group. IMT involved abdominal and thoracic breathing training that was performed in the patient ward under the supervision and guidance of trained nurses: (I) abdominal breathing training: the purpose of this training was to strengthen the diaphragm muscles. For this training, patients assumed a supine position, and inhaled slowly through the nose to their maximum lung capacity. Patients then briefly held their breath before exhaling slowly through the lips with their abdominal muscles tightened. This exercise was performed two to three times daily for 15-20 minutes per session; (II) thoracic breathing training: this training was undertaken to strengthen intercostal muscles and used a simple respiratory training device (Voldyne 5000, Sherwood Medical Supplies, St Louis, MO, USA). Patients were guided to exhale calmly at the beginning, and then deeply inhaled through the suction nozzle of the device, and after holding for several seconds, they then exhaled slowly. Patients performed these exercises for 20 minutes at least four times daily. Meanwhile, for CRT, a NuStep crosstraining apparatus (NuStep, Inc., Ann Arbor, Michigan) was used at a rehabilitation training center under the guidance of physiologists. At the beginning of the CRT, the patients adjusted the resistance of the NuStep according to their own speed and power, and then progressively increased the resistance range; patients used the NuStep twice daily for 20 minutes per session. For all training exercises described above, the procedure was stopped if the patients showed any obvious discomfort, such as shortness of breath, dyspnea or exhaustion, and they were allowed to rest until their condition allowed them to withstand subsequent training.

At the end of the PR program period, the 6-MWT, PFT, and HRQoL tests were performed again and then received the arranged surgery.

Postoperative management

Antibiotics were used to prevent or treat infection, and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (tramadol hydrochloride injection, 1–1.5 mg/h) was used during the initial three postoperative days, followed by oral nonsteroidal analgesics (ibuprofen soft capsules, 200 mg, and twice a day). Chest CT scans and haematological examinations were performed routinely to determine whether pulmonary infection, aerothorax or pleural effusion occurred, and to decide sequential whether to treat these symptoms specially (8).

Outcome measures

Primary endpoints

PPCs were redefined and classified into five grades according to the Clavien-Dindo Complication Classification System (*Table 1*), and the PPCs were ultimately defined as Clavien-Dindo grade II to grade V. Common PPC criteria were: pneumonia [confirmed by new infiltrates by X-ray imaging, white cell count (WBC) >11×10⁹/L, temperature >38.5 °C, and purulent sputum], atelectasis (too much sputum or the sputum was too thick to allow expulsion and thus required bronchoscopy and sputum suction to remove), bronchopleural fistula, positive pleural effusion, prolonged chest tubes (>7 days), prolonged mechanical ventilation (>24 hours) (6), or reoperation.

Secondary endpoints

Other outcomes including the length of in-hospital stay, 6-MWD, PEF, fatigue and dyspnea index, and QoL scores, which were set as secondary endpoints. 6-MWD, PEF, and fatigue and dyspnea resistance index were analyzed to assess differences before and after physical training/preparation. EORTC-QLQ-C30 and EORTC-LC13 scores were also

Table 1	Classifications of	postoperative p	oulmonary com	plications of	thoracic surgery*
			/		(I)

Grade I

Cough, transient, not due to other causes

Pneumonia: new onset purulent sputum; fever >38.5 °C, imageological infiltrate, WBC count >11×10⁹/L, negative blood cultures; no focus outside the lungs

Microatelectasis, microaerothorax or air leakage: persistent, leak duration <7 days

Dyspnea: symptom improves by oxygen inhalation

Grade II

Cough, productive, not due to other causes

Dyspnea: bronchospasm or sputum blockage needing pharmacological intervention

Pneumonia or wound infection: positive bacterial cultures, needing antibiotics change

Hypercarbia requiring treatment, such as mechanical ventilation >24 hours

Blood transfusions and total parenteral nutrition support

Grade III

Severe atelectasis needing bronchoscope and aspiration

Pleural effusion or persistent air leak needing tube relocation or thoracentesis

Prolonged duration of tube drainage: duration >7 days, due to persistent air leakage or pleural effusion

Re-operation: bronchopleural fistula, chylothorax, active thoracic hemorrhage

Grade IV

Postoperative mechanical ventilation >48 hours

Pulmonary embolism; ARDS

Single or multi organ failure

Return to ICU, due to other life-threatening complications or organ dysfunction

Grade V

Death

*, according to the Clavien-Dindo Complication Classification System (9). Grade I: the abnormal course without the need for pharmacological, surgical, endoscopic or radiological interventions; Grade II: requiring pharmacological treatment other than such allowed for grade I complications; Grade III: requiring surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention; Grade IV: life-threatening complication or requiring IC/ICU-management; Grade V: death. IC, intensive care; ICU, intensive care unit.

used to analyze the subjective self-evaluation.

Statistical analysis

The primary endpoint of the study was to reduce the rate of PPCs that occurred in the 30 days after surgery. Type-I error (α) was set at 5% with 80% statistical power. We expected to produce a 30% difference in the PPC rate. This prediction was based on unpublished study data that revealed an 8.0% PPC rate in the PR group. Based on this

finding, at least 27 patients were needed for each arm. In addition, we predicted that 10% of patients would drop out. Using a two-sided alternative, we thus needed to include 30 patients in each group.

All continuous variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The continuous variables in the Combined PR Group and Single IMT Group were compared separately with the Control Group by a two-sided independent samples *t*-test. Frequency data were compared between the groups using the χ^2 test. All results



Figure 1 The study flow of the work. The intentional analysis was adopted in the study.

were considered significant at P<0.05. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software V.21.0.

Results

Study population and characteristics

From November 2015 to May 2016, a total of 90 LC patients awaiting lobectomy at the Department of Thoracic Surgery of West China Hospital were included. Among those patients, 16 had an advanced age of >70 y, 12 had COPD, 8 had an ASA score >3, 9 had a BMI >30 and 1 had a prior history of VATS wedge resection. The majority of patients were male (62/90, 68.9%), and among these, most (46/62; 74%) were smokers. During the rehabilitation, 5 patients dropped out because of loss of motivation (n=2), acute COPD exacerbation (n=1), and a new worsening of knee pain (n=2) (Figure 1). In consideration of the intention to treat (ITT) principle, we included these patients in the final analysis. Of the enrolled patients, 66 (73.3%) and 24 (26.7%) underwent VATS lobectomy and open lobectomy, respectively. All groups were comparable in terms of demographic and surgical characteristics, with no significant differences seen

between the groups. The details of the study population and characteristics are listed in *Table 2*.

Endpoint outcomes

PPCs

Pneumonia was the most frequent PPC among the three treatment groups (17.8%, total; combined PR group: 13.3%, IMT group: 16.7%, and control group: 23.3%), and was followed by pleural effusion (5.56%), air leakage (5.56%), and atelectasis (4.4%) (*Table 3*). An analysis of the patients with PPC grade II–V according to the Clavien-Dindo Complication Classification System (*Table 4*) showed a significant difference between the Combined PR Group *vs.* the Control Group (P=0.045), but there were no significant differences between the IMT Group and Control Group (P=0.273).

Length of in-hospital stay

There was no statistically significant difference in preoperative length of hospital stay between the two PR groups and the Control Group, but the total and postoperative length of stay for the Combined PR Group

Variables	Combined PR group (n=30)	Single IMT group (n=30)	Control group (n=30)	P1	P2
Age (years, mean ± SD)	63.0±8.7	64.1±5.3	63.6±6.5	0.751	0.761
Gender (male), n (%)	20 (66.7)	21 (70.0)	21 (70.0)	0.781	1.000
PFT, mean ± SD					
FEV ₁ (L)	2.3±0.6	2.3±0.8	2.2±0.7	0.103	0.635
PPoFEV ₁ %	73.1±16.0	64.3±19.1	66.1±16.6	0.317	0.698
FVC (L)	3.2±0.7	3.3±0.8	3.2±0.6	0.640	0.586
DLCO (mL/min/mmHg)	22.8±4.8	21.8±4.8	22.5±4.7	0.836	0.584
ppoDLCO%	76.4±17.1	74.2±17.7	77.0±15.9	0.886	0.616
Risk factors, n (%)					
ASA score>3	3 (10.0)	3 (10.0)	2 (6.7)	1.000	1.000
Current smoking status	7 (23.3)	6 (20.0)	7 (23.3)	1.000	0.767
COPD	5 (16.7)	4 (13.3)	6 (20.0)	0.739	0.488
BMI >30	3 (10.0)	4 (13.3)	2 (6.7)	1.000	0.424
Prior thoracic surgery	1 (3.3)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1.000	1.000
Clinical stage, n (%)				0.277	0.139
Stage I	16 (53.3)	14 (46.7)	17 (56.7)		
Stage II	10 (33.3)	10 (33.3)	11 (36.6)		
Stage III	4 (13.3)	6 (20.0)	2 (6.7)		
Surgical approach, n (%)				0.598	0.787
VATS	17 (56.7)	20 (66.7)	19 (63.3)		
Open	13 (43.3)	10 (33.3)	11 (36.7)		
Total in-hospital stay (days), mean ± SD	14.1±2.7	15.7±.3.0	17.3±4.3	0.001	0.114
Preoperative	8.3±1.1	7.6±2.6	7.9±2.0	0.316	0.660
Postoperative	5.8±3.0	8.1±2.1	9.4±4.6	0.001	0.170

Table 2 Baseline characteristics of patients

P1: P value, baseline compared in combined PR group *vs.* control group; P2: P value, baseline compared in single IMT group *vs.* control group. IMT, inspiratory muscle training; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; ppoFEV1%, postoperative predicted FEV1%; DLCO, diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide of the lung; ppoDLCO%, postoperative predicted DLCO%; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI, body mass index; VATS, video assisted thoracic surgery.

was statistically shorter compared to the Control Group by a mean 3.2 days and 3.6 days ($14.1\pm2.7 vs. 17.3\pm4.3 d$, P=0.001; 5.8±3.0 vs. 9.4±4.6 d, P=0.001; respectively), while there was no difference between the IMT Group and Control Group.

6-MWT

No differences were found in ^aFatigue score (Borg) and ^aDyspnea score (Borg) between the two PR groups and

the Control Group, while the $^{\Delta}6$ -MWD (36.67±48.57 vs. 4.00±27.30 m, P=0.002) and $^{\Delta}PEF$ (30.00±33.11 vs. -0.32±37.18 L/min, P=0.001) in the Combined PR Group were improved significantly compared to Control Group, and the $^{\Delta}PEF$ for the Combined PR Group was significantly higher compared to the Single IMT Group (30.00±33.11 vs. 15.70±42.78 m, P=0.004). No difference in $^{\Delta}PEF$ (P=0.127) and $^{\Delta}6$ -MWD (P=0.740) was found between the Single IMT Group and Control Group.

Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 9, No 7 July 2017

Lable 5 I cloth thild of bostoberative building combineations between group	Table 3 Person-	time of postop	erative pulmona	v complications	between group
--	-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------

Complication criteria	Combined PR group (n=30)	Single PR group (n=30)	Control group (n=30)	P1	P2
Pneumonia	4 (13.3)	5 (23.3)	7 (23.3)	0.317	0.519
Pleural effusion needing tube	1 (3.3)	2 (6.7)	2 (6.7)		
Atelectasis needing toilet bronchoscope	2 (6.7)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)		
Empyema	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)		
Mechanical ventilation >48 h	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)	2 (6.7)		
Bronchopleural fistula	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)		
Chylothorax	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)		
Back to ICU	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)		
Air leak >7 days	2 (6.7)	1 (3.3)	2 (6.7)		
Pulmonary embolism	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)		
ARDS or respiratory failure	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)		
Death	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (3.3)		

P1: P value of combined PR group vs. control group; P2: P value of single IMT group vs. control group. ICU, intensive care unit; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome.

Table 4 Differences in p	person-time of PPCs g	rades	between-groups
--------------------------	-----------------------	-------	----------------

Complication grade	Combined PR group (n=30)	IMT group (n=30)	Control group (n=30)	P1	P2
Grade I	14 (46.7)	14 (46.7)	16 (53.3)	0.606	0.606
Grade II	4 (13.3)	5 (16.7)	8 (26.7)	0.197	0.347
Grade III	3 (10.0)	3 (10.0)	3 (10.0)	1.000	1.000
Grade IV	1 (3.3)	1 (3.3)	2 (6.7)	1.000	1.000
Grade V	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (3.3)	1.000	1.000
PPC rate (grade II–V)	5 (13.3)	8 (26.7)	12 (40.0)	0.045	0.273

P1: P value of combined PR group vs. control group; P2: P value of single IMT group vs. control group. PPCs, postoperative pulmonary complications; IMT, inspiratory muscle training; PR, pulmonary rehabilitation.

QoL scores

The QoL scores were self-evaluated according to physical and psychological status. A significant difference (P=0.035) in the overall scores for ^{Δ}Global QoL was seen between the Combined PR Group and Control Group, while no statistically significant difference among the groups was seen for physical/emotional function and dyspnea score, although there were subjective improvements in treatment effects (*Table 5*).

Discussion

This exploratory experiment showed that a one-week

high-intensity PR program had a better effect on the PPC rate compared with a conventional IMT PR program or in-hospital routine care and this modified program may thus be a feasible approach to treat LC patients who have potential PPC risk factors, and moreover, serve as a guide or reference for further research regarding the PR of LC patients.

According to our results, 90% of participants in the Combined PR Group and 91.7% in the IMT Group completed the seven-day rehabilitation period, and among the 3 in the Combined PR Group who did not complete the regimen, 1 patients had acute COPD exacerbation and 2 had new worsening of knee pain, while 2 in the IMT Group

Table 5 Between-group differences between before and after intervention for CRT, IMT and QoL

Outoomo voriabloo	Combined PR group (n=30)		Single IMT group (n=30)		Control group (n=30)		D1	DO
Outcome variables	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	FI	FΖ
CRT & IMT								
6-MWD (m)	477.2±102.8	513.8±98.0	470.3±89.0	476.5±86.5	496.8±86.0	500.8±82.3	0.002	0.740
Fatigue score	1.5±1.1	1.4±0.6	1.5±1.3	1.4±1.3	1.4±1.1	1.5±0.8	0.485	0.389
Dyspnea score	1.1±1.2	0.8±1.0	1.1±1.5	1.1±1.3	1.1±0.9	1.2±0.7	0.110	0.470
PEF (L/min)	390.3±115.8	420.3±113.2	386.0±95.2	401.7±85.9	383.0±105.7	382.7±106.3	0.001	0.127
FEV ₁ (L)	2.2±0.6	2.3±0.6	2.3±0.8	2.3±0.8	2.1±0.5	2.2±0.7	0.790	0.522
FVC (L)	3.2±0.7	3.2±0.7	3.2±0.8	3.3±0.9	3.0±0.6	3.1±0.7	0.373	0.414
DICO, mL/min/mmHg	22.5±4.1	22.8±4.8	21.6±4.9	21.8±4.8	22.0±4.1	22.5±4.7	0.265	0.463
QoL evaluation								
Global QoL*	71.9±13.8	74.2±12.1	68.1±14.0	70.0±13.9	68.9±11.8	67.5±11.9	0.035	0.144
Physical function*	88.9±6.4	90.0±6.3	89.1±7.7	89.3±7.9	88.0±6.4	87.6±6.9	0.229	0.571
Emotional function*	85.0±9.6	90.0±7.7	85.8±9.1	87.2±8.7	83.9±11.6	87.8±9.0	0.590	0.816
Dyspnea score †	15.6± 19.0	8.9±15.0	14.4±18.9	10.0±17.8	8.9±15.0	13.3±16.6	0.840	0.186

['], higher scores indicate better functioning (scaled from 0–100); [†], lower scores indicate less dyspnea (scaled from 0–100). P1: P value of ^{Δ}after-before in combined PR group *vs.* control group; P2: P value of ^{Δ}after-before in single IMT group *vs.* control group. CRT, conventional resistance training; IMT, inspiratory muscle training; 6-MWD, 6-min walk distance; PEF, peak expiratory flow; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in one second; DLCO, diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide of the lung; QoL, quality of life.

dropped out due to loss of motivation. These completion rates suggest that compliance with the programs is likely to be high and also supports the feasibility of the combined PR pattern.

The main intervention measurements we used in this study were PR training (IMT or a combination of IMT and CRT). Despite an increasing number of studies confirming that PR is an effective treatment for improving exercise tolerance, reducing dyspnea, and improving QoL (6,10-13), there remains a lack of a standard procedure or practical guidance for LC patients, and few studies have explored the effect of a combined short-term and high-intensity rehabilitation program for preoperative LC patients. These reasons provide the strongest evidence to support the use of IMT and CRT as a combined intervention for PR, and we hypothesize that the combined high intensity program would have a better effect in terms of reducing PPCs than traditional regimens with IMT alone. An IMT program (7 times a week, 20 minutes per session, for at least 2 weeks) is the most-widely used method that can be performed in the patient's room or at home, during busy or idle time.

IMT positively enhances respiratory muscle strength, which reflects the relative load for breathing, coughing, and huffing (14,15). Moreover, Sutton et al. showed that improved forced expiration maneuvers could be more effective than coughing for improving clearance of bronchoalveolar hyper-secretions (16). Hulzebos et al. also reported that preoperative IMT could reduce the PPC incidence and postoperative length of stay (17), as well as the postoperative QoL (18). Meanwhile, CRT requires that the patient take an active role in improving peak exercise tolerance (19), and strengthening quadriceps after CRT has been completed (20). As the evaluation index for aerobic endurance exercise, 6-MWD shows a close correlation to peak oxygen consumption (peak VO₂), and are an excellent predictor of reduced peak VO_2 (21). Furthermore, as was shown in a recent study, CRT as a treatment goal can impact disease severity and provide clinically relevant exercise-tolerance in pediatric pulmonary arterial hypertension (22), as well as help to preserve ejection fraction in heart failure patients (23). Licker et al. conducted a randomized trial and revealed that preoperative high intensity interval training resulted

We set 7 days as preoperative PR duration, which would improve the efficiency of PR and also favorably balance patient compliance contraindications as well as patient economic support. Several studies investigated the correlation between rehabilitation efficiency and PR duration, with one showing that one day of preoperative IMT could significantly decrease postoperative atelectasis (25). Moreover, Hulzebos et al. and Benzo et al. recommend two and four week durations, respectively (6,17). Meanwhile, Sekine et al. showed that a 3 to 15 day rehabilitation period could significantly improve respiratory muscle strength and recovery of pulmonary function (5,26). Unfortunately, these suggested longer program durations are often not appropriate for Chinese patients, especially when the program duration exceeds 2 weeks. The reasons for the difficulties may lie in deficiencies in community health care and public health consciousness, particularly in underserved rural areas. Although patients in developed countries with more sophisticated health care delivery systems can receive preoperative PR training at home or at a personal clinic, China lacks relevant support facilities, and also has a shortage of hospital beds and patient economic capacity. For these reasons, LC patients in China may avoid delaying surgical treatment, and have a strong incentive to undergo tumor removal as quickly as possible. Here we investigated the appropriate preoperative waiting time, and found that LC patients usually spent 5-7 days finishing the necessary preoperative examinations in our crowded medical center, and thus a one-week rehabilitation period could be undertaken without delaying surgery. However, programs with more than one-week duration could significantly reduce patient compliance.

PPCs were the major evaluation index to assess the effect of one particular treatment, and were also used as the primary endpoint in this study. A recent meta-analysis showed that preoperative exercise-based PR could reduce the PPC incidence 12), which was thought to be a mixed outcome based on physical and psychological evidence. We used the Clavien-Dindo complication classification system to classify the PPCs into five grades, and the PPCs were ultimately defined as Clavien-Dindo grade II to grade V. When analyzing PPCs classified by grade I–V, there were significant differences in grade II–V PPCs between the combined PR group and control group (P=0.045).

In terms of QoL, interestingly, the EORTC QLQ-C30 scores were significantly improved in the PR groups,

likely because of the education these patients received, and the global QoL scores were significantly higher for the Combined PR Group compared with the control group. Moreover, emotional function scores, which represent levels of preoperative anxiety and depression, were similar between the three groups. This outcome was consistent with previous studies that showed little effect of preoperative PR on emotional function scores (20,27). Nonetheless, anxiety and depression could actually be alleviated through the care support and education that patients receive inhospital as they await surgery. With appropriate educational guidance, intensive physical intervention could also improve muscle strength and exercise tolerance, as was previously reported (20). Meanwhile, the increased intensity of the combined PR program could enhance self-confidence in the face of surgical stress, while patients in both PR groups who gained more knowledge about perioperative activities were more cooperative, which could be helpful during postoperative recovery.

The generalizability of our findings may be restricted because of a few limitations in the study. First, all study participants were enrolled from a single regional medical center in western China, such that the study design may have center-specific bias. Second, although the HR-QoL scores were significantly improved in all groups, there was no significant difference between the groups. As such, a more detailed assessment system may be needed to define the differences in further studies. Moreover, in our study, the incentive spirometer Voldyne was used, as it is popular used in China so far, however, the device is unfortunately not the best way to improve respiratory muscle performances (28). Meanwhile, we intended to increase the exercise duration combining IMT and CRT during the 7 days to achieve the effect of "high-intensity", as in a relative short it is hardly to incrementally increase the workload. In the future study, the below PR would be investigated in depth. To better evaluate program effectiveness in terms of improving/ enhancing cardiopulmonary endurance, some instruments, for example, the cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET), should be used in future studies.

In conclusion, compared with IMT program, by applying exercise regimens and increasing physical activity in LC patients with risk factors of PPCs, the combined program could better improve the exercise capacity, inspiratory muscle strength and QoL, which additionally contributed to alleviate the PPCs severity. This hospital-based short-term pattern of PR combining high-intensity IMT with CRT could may be a feasible strategy for treating LC patients, especially those with risk factors of PPCs awaiting surgeries. Furthermore, it provided a reference to encourage further research on LC patients of all stages.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the members of the Department of Rehabilitation Medicine for their generous technical assistance and guidance, and the nurse team led by Prof. Mei Yang for their nursing care support and health education on perioperative activities. We also thank all the study participants for their kind participation and cooperation. No person involved in this study received compensation for their contribution.

Funding: This study was funded by two project grants (No. 2014SZ0148 and No. 2015SZ0158) from the Foundation of Science and Technology support plan, Department of Sichuan Province, China.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: This prospective three-armed randomized controlled trial was adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Clinical Trials and Biomedical Ethics Committee of Sichuan University West University Hospital and Chinese Ethics Committee of Registering Clinical Trials (ChiCTR-IOR-16008109). All enrolled patients signed informed consent and patient information was obtained.

References

- 1. Mao Y, Yang D, He J, et al. Epidemiology of Lung Cancer. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 2016;25:439-45.
- 2. Boffa DJ, Allen MS, Grab JD, et al. Data from The Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery database: the surgical management of primary lung tumors. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2008;135:247-54.
- Kim AW, Detterbeck FC, Boffa DJ, et al. Characteristics associated with the use of nonanatomic resections among Medicare patients undergoing resections of early-stage lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2012;94:895-901.
- 4. Simonsen DF, Sogaard M, Bozi I, et al. Risk factors for postoperative pneumonia after lung cancer surgery and impact of pneumonia on survival. Respir Med

2015;109:1340-6.

- Sekine Y, Chiyo M, Iwata T, et al. Perioperative rehabilitation and physiotherapy for lung cancer patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Jpn J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005;53:237-43.
- Benzo R, Wigle D, Novotny P, et al. Preoperative pulmonary rehabilitation before lung cancer resection: results from two randomized studies. Lung Cancer 2011;74:441-5.
- Fernandez FG, Kosinski AS, Burfeind W, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Lung Cancer Resection Risk Model: Higher Quality Data and Superior Outcomes. Ann Thorac Surg 2016;102:370-7.
- 8. Huang J, Lai Y, Gao K, et al. Surfactant Protein-D: A sensitive predictor for efficiency of preoperative pulmonary rehabilitation. Int J Surg 2017;41:136-42.
- Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. Ann Surg 2004;240:205-13.
- Crandall K, Maguire R, Campbell A, et al. Exercise intervention for patients surgically treated for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC): a systematic review. Surg Oncol 2014;23:17-30.
- Yamana I, Takeno S, Hashimoto T, et al. Randomized Controlled Study to Evaluate the Efficacy of a Preoperative Respiratory Rehabilitation Program to Prevent Postoperative Pulmonary Complications after Esophagectomy. Dig Surg 2015;32:331-7.
- Stefanelli F, Meoli I, Cobuccio R, et al. High-intensity training and cardiopulmonary exercise testing in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and nonsmall-cell lung cancer undergoing lobectomy. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2013;44:e260-5.
- Sebio Garcia R, Yáñez Brage MI, Giménez Moolhuyzen E, et al. Functional and postoperative outcomes after preoperative exercise training in patients with lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2016;23:486-97.
- Refai M, Pompili C, Salati M, et al. Can maximal inspiratory and expiratory pressures during exercise predict complications in patients submitted to major lung resections? A prospective cohort study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;45:665-69; discussion 9-70.
- Ishida H, Kobara K, Osaka H, et al. Correlation between Peak Expiratory Flow and Abdominal Muscle Thickness. J Phys Ther Sci 2014;26:1791-3.
- 16. Sutton PP, Parker RA, Webber BA, et al. Assessment of

Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 9, No 7 July 2017

the forced expiration technique, postural drainage and directed coughing in chest physiotherapy. Eur J Respir Dis 1983;64:62-8.

- 17. Hulzebos EH, Helders PJ, Favie NJ, et al. Preoperative intensive inspiratory muscle training to prevent postoperative pulmonary complications in high-risk patients undergoing CABG surgery: a randomized clinical trial. Jama 2006;296:1851-7.
- Bissett BM, Leditschke IA, Neeman T, et al. Inspiratory muscle training to enhance recovery from mechanical ventilation: a randomised trial. Thorax 2016;71:812-9.
- Cade WT, Reeds DN, Peterson LR, et al. Endurance Exercise Training in Young Adults with Barth Syndrome: A Pilot Study. JIMD Rep 2017;32:15-24.
- 20. Arbane G, Tropman D, Jackson D, et al. Evaluation of an early exercise intervention after thoracotomy for nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), effects on quality of life, muscle strength and exercise tolerance: randomised controlled trial. Lung Cancer 2011;71:229-34.
- Kehmeier ES, Sommer MH, Galonska A, et al. Diagnostic value of the six-minute walk test (6MWT) in grownup congenital heart disease (GUCH): Comparison with clinical status and functional exercise capacity. Int J Cardiol 2016;203:90-7.
- 22. Douwes JM, Hegeman AK, van der Krieke MB, et al. Sixminute walking distance and decrease in oxygen saturation

Cite this article as: Huang J, Lai Y, Zhou X, Li S, Su J, Yang M, Che G. Short-term high-intensity rehabilitation in radically treated lung cancer: a three-armed randomized controlled trial. J Thorac Dis 2017;9(7):1919-1929. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.06.15

during the six-minute walk test in pediatric pulmonary arterial hypertension. Int J Cardiol 2016;202:34-9.

- 23. Zotter-Tufaro C, Mascherbauer J, Duca F, et al. Prognostic Significance and Determinants of the 6-Min Walk Test in Patients With Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction. JACC Heart Fail 2015;3:459-66.
- 24. Licker M, Karenovics W, Diaper J, et al. Short-Term Preoperative High-Intensity Interval Training in Patients Awaiting Lung Cancer Surgery: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Thorac Oncol 2017;12:323-33.
- 25. Yánez-Brage I, Pita-Fernández S, Juffé-Stein A, et al. Respiratory physiotherapy and incidence of pulmonary complications in off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery: an observational follow-up study. BMC Pulm Med 2009;9:36.
- Shiono S, Abiko M, Sato T. Postoperative complications in elderly patients after lung cancer surgery. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2013;16:819-23.
- Stigt JA, Uil SM, van Riesen SJ, et al. A randomized controlled trial of postthoracotomy pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with resectable lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2013;8:214-21.
- Paiva DN, Assmann LB, Bordin DF, et al. Inspiratory muscle training with threshold or incentive spirometry: Which is the most effective? Rev Port Pneumol (2006) 2015;21:76-81.